



Gamba Tavern

In Progress / drw

DrW & HouseBets situation



Gamba Tavern BOT 20/06/2026 21:18

(edited)

Ticket #295

Please describe your issue and a staff member will assist you shortly.

Created By

@Dr.W

Status

● Open

Panel: File A Report • 20/06/2026 21:18

Thanks for filing a report!

Please explain and provide as much evidence as possible in order for us to review the case properly.



We will get back to you as soon as possible to either request further information or confirm whether we'll proceed.



Dr.W 20/06/2026 21:19


HOUSEBETS





Elder 20/06/2026 21:20


yea you can give us all your info again here.


and also share why you won't allow Housebets to release their side as they've claimed





 **Dr.W** 20/06/2026 21:21
If this is going to start with people saying things that aren't true, we're off to a bad start.


 **Elder** 20/06/2026 21:24
this is unbiased


 **Dr.W** If this is going to start with people saying things that aren't true, we're off to a bad start.

 **MC** 20/06/2026 21:41
I think it would be easiest if you allowed housebets to share their side. This would allow all the information to come into play and then we can pick at the things that are true and aren't true (edited)

 **Dr.W** If this is going to start with people saying things that aren't true, we're off to a bad start.

 **Cole** 20/06/2026 21:46
Hey **@Dr.W** you can't seriously mean this?
In what world does one only review one side to form an opinion?

 **TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 20/06/2026 21:56
Dollar tree has been asked to recuse him self from the matter. Please go on **@Dr.W**

 **Dr.W** 20/06/2026 21:58
I think there have been a lot of misunderstandings here, since different people from different places have contacted me X, etc. so I'll start from scratch and share everything here. Clearly, what I'm reading is not what happened. I'll be home in an hour, and I'll write here then, if that works for you. I can promise you that u will get all info you need

 1  1

So please stop saying i dont want to share or i dont want that housebets share their opinion
I will write as soon as i arrive home ty!



Dr.W I think there have been a lot of misunderstandings here, since different people from different places hav...

Cole 20/06/2026 22:00
Sounds good man 🤝



Dr.W 21/06/2026 0:37
I cant send the url can you allow me?



Elder 21/06/2026 0:37


@Cole

<https://x.com/i/status/2067841298975887474>

Dr. W (@DrWgamba)

I want to reach out to @GambaTavern see if it works as they've said. I want to expose @housebets and its owner @pOrchy

This is a very short summary. I'm willing to provide any proof, evidence, screenshots, videos, or documentation needed to verify that everything stated here



X • 19/06/2026 7:25

this one?



Dr.W 21/06/2026 0:38
thats it the one you comment to share here.

Housebets has been avoiding responding to me for a month, and I fear that, given all the evidence already documented, their strategy is to send large amounts of data, shift the focus elsewhere, or violate my privacy.

I want to make it clear that any data requested will be provided or requested from Housebets, but let's clearly define the scope so we do not get sidetracked. Does that sound acceptable to you?

I think the post shows really easy the case. Any thing you need, any question, any log, screenshot, support chat you need I can share here. In private. So you guys see how they are scamming ppl.

I have videos shared on my X account so check them, or if you need I will share them here.



Cole 21/06/2026 0:55

Just to ensure I've interpreted you correctly, you're afraid they might share your personal info as a response to us or yourself requesting their side of this story?

TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 21/06/2026 1:00

in particularly what information are you worried about? Could you give us some examples?

Example:

KYC Docs

IP

etc

just list the information that you don't want be revealed and we could ask for redacted information which I'm pretty sure they wouldn't share those things I mentioned in the first place that would be business suicide

Dr.W 21/06/2026 1:05

Yes, exactly. The issue for me is not "Housebets can't send anything".

They can send anything that is relevant to the accusations I am making in this case (the shared post). I actually want them to do that.

What I don't want is irrelevant private data, personal information, full account dumps, or unrelated material being sent to confuse the issue or shift the focus away from the actual dispute.

For this case, the relevant records are things like:

- Weekly/Lossback calculation;
- May Monthly Bonus calculation;
- ledger entries for those rewards;
- GGR/NGR used in the calculations;
- slider history;
- weekly/monthly cycle timestamps;
- leaderboard snapshots;
- eligibility/funding records for elmourabut and lucasmartirini;
- game/category mapping records;
- explanation of whether categories, game labels or leaderboard contribution rules changed.

That is all relevant.

What is not relevant is KYC, IPs, device data, private personal details, unrelated account notes, unrelated gameplay history, or a full private account report used to bury the actual questions.

If some wallet or transaction data is genuinely needed to verify a point, then it can be redacted or provided privately to an independent reviewer. I'm not trying to hide evidence.

I'm simply saying: keep it relevant to the accusations, redact private identifiers, and answer the case point by point.

To be clear, I have no issue with Housebets providing relevant account information if it helps verify the facts.

For example, I have no problem with deposit history, withdrawal history, dates, amounts, bonus history, reward history, ledger entries, wagering records or anything else directly related to the accusations being discussed.

My concern is not transparency.

My concern is relevance.

If a piece of information helps verify whether the Weekly/Lossback was paid, whether the Monthly Bonus was paid, whether the leaderboard calculations were correct, or whether the disputed accounts were genuinely eligible, then I have no problem with it being reviewed.

What I don't want is unrelated personal information, private identifiers, KYC documents, IPs, device fingerprints, wallet ownership information, or a massive account dump containing material that has nothing to do with the dispute and only serves to distract from the actual questions.

So my position is simple:

Anything relevant to the allegations = absolutely fine.

Anything unrelated to the allegations = should be redacted or excluded.

I'm not trying to limit evidence.

I'm trying to keep the case focused.



Cole 21/06/2026 1:08

I'm glad you clarified this, and I think we all understand where you're coming from.

We'll honestly need to hear HouseBets' story regardless of how trustworthy your post may seem.

I'll reach out to them to try and get information regarding the points you just mentioned to be relevant.



Dr.W 21/06/2026 1:14

Thanks Cole, I really appreciate this.

Honestly, reading your answer, this is the first time in a while that I feel someone in this space is actually trying to structure this fairly and get to the truth, instead of pushing an agenda or turning it into drama.

And yes, I completely agree: you need to hear Housebets' side. I want that too.

The only thing I ask is exactly what you said: please keep it focused on the relevant points I mentioned.

If Housebets provides calculations, ledgers, dates, deposits/withdrawals, bonus history, slider history, leaderboard snapshots, account eligibility/funding records, game/category mapping or anything directly connected to the accusations, I have no issue with that.

If they provide something formal about my account, I also ask that it is sent to me as well, because this is already being handled through formal channels by email too. I commit to sharing here anything relevant that they send me, so everyone can compare it and verify it.

What I don't want is unrelated private data or a huge account dump used to distract from the actual questions.

So yes, please reach out to them. I appreciate you doing it this way.



I also replied to your DM on X.

Dr.W Thanks Cole, I really appreciate this. Honestly, reading your answer, this is the first time in a while that I ...

Cole 21/06/2026 1:16

We appreciate the kind words and rest assured that even if they happen to share personal information, it'll stay out of the situation and never be shared by myself.

In order words, worst case scenario, it won't affect you in any regard.

Dr.W 21/06/2026 1:17

I trust you ty



absolute anything you need about the case remember that i can open my account and share with you any data in any moment




Dr.W 21/06/2026 15:37

I don't know what happened, but some messages I sent yesterday were showing up in red and it looked like only I could read them. I'm not sure if they've disappeared now or if they were read yesterday. I assume they were.

this was sent yesterday at 00:36



just before this msg



Dr.W this was sent yesterday at 00:36

Cole 21/06/2026 15:42

ah it's probably the link at the bottom

Just copy the message and remove the "https://'" before pasting

Dr.W 21/06/2026 15:43

okey place it before

Dr.W I cant send the url can you allow me?



Dr.W 21/06/2026 15:43

this msg for context

Guys, I'll keep this simple and fair.

I am not stopping Housebets from giving their side. I want them to answer. What I do not accept is "we need to publish his full private account report" being used to avoid the accusations. Their side should be a point-by-point answer, not a public dump of my private account data.

I have always been direct. Every serious claim I made had screenshots, logs, emails or recordings behind it. I have never hidden from evidence or lied about what I had.

Please keep the scope clear. For now this is only about the X post I'm linking below: unpaid Weekly/Lossback, unpaid May Monthly Bonus, the two leaderboard accounts chasing me, Housebets confirming them as "legitimate and eligible", missing records, and confusing game categories.

This is not about withdrawals. Withdrawals are not rewards. Leaderboard prizes are not Weekly Lossback or Monthly Bonus.

Housebets support said the Monday 25 May weekly covered gameplay from 21-24 May. My big loss happened after that: 25 May 22:23 → 26 May 02:09, 91,168.375326 USDT deposited and lost. My Weekly slider was 100% Lossback. So: which Weekly/Lossback cycle counted those losses? Where is the calculation and ledger entry? Same for May Monthly Bonus: where is the calculation and payment?

Two new accounts appeared behind me with huge volume: elmourabut and lucasmartirini. I asked whether they were real eligible customer accounts, not staff/test/internal/affiliate/promo/raw-balance/bonus-only/special accounts. Housebets answered: "legitimate and eligible accounts". Do they still stand by that? If yes, show eligibility/funding records. If no, explain why they confirmed it.

The game/category issue matters too. If players cannot verify what games generate leaderboard volume, RTP/house edge, and whether mappings changed, the leaderboard is not auditable.

I'll paste the post where everything is explained with evidence. I ask Housebets to answer the actual points: admit, deny or explain, with records.



Dr.W 23/06/2026 16:16

@Cole

[Redacted text block]



[Redacted text block]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



[Redacted]

[Redacted]



[Redacted]

[Redacted]



[Redacted]



[Redacted]



[Redacted]



[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]



Btw the owner of HouseBets is here

Do you mind if we bring him into the discussion so we can get his side of the story?



Dr.W 23/06/2026 16:48

take it

ofc

want to hear what hi have been hidig so much time



[Redacted text]



Cole Btw the owner of HouseBets is here

Dr.W 23/06/2026 17:51

I'm waiting to hear about the allegations mentioned in the post. What is the situation regarding them? Where is he yeah lets talk



Dr.W 24/06/2026 12:18

Good morning guys,

Just checking in politely, do we have any update from Housebets / Porchy?

As far as I understood, the owner of Housebets was here and could be brought into the discussion so they could address the points publicly. Has that happened, or is there any current status on that?


On my side, Housebets still has not provided me with any explanation, calculation, report, or direct answer to the points raised in the post. The two tickets / formal requests I opened have also not been answered in any meaningful way.



I was also directed to use their formal complaint process, which, as I understand it, should at least be acknowledged within a set timeframe, but I still have not received a proper response.



I don't want to spam the channel or push drama. I just want to know whether they have responded to any of you, whether they are still expected to come here, and what the next step is.


I'm still ready to keep everything focused only on the points from the post: Weekly/Lossback, May Monthly Bonus, the two leaderboard accounts, missing calculations/records, and the game/category mapping issue.

Thanks.

 **Dr.W** Good morning guys, Just checking in politely, do we have any update from Housebets / Porchy? As far a...
MC 24/06/2026 19:28
Let me notify the people who were more involved in the ticket.


 **Cole** 24/06/2026 19:46
Hey **@Dr.W** just double checking rq, can I invite Porchy to this channel?

Asking as you've disclaimed your concerns previously in this channel.


 **Cole** Hey **@Dr.W** just double checking rq, can I invite Porchy to this channel?
 **Dr.W** 24/06/2026 20:06
ofc yes
just let him clear the subject
and answer clearly things


 **Dr.W** 24/06/2026 20:27
Also make it clear to him that he is coming to answer questions, not to say, "I have a massive report that has nothing to do with the case." If the case requires information, we will provide it. I'm not going to hide anything, but I'm not going to let him hide things in a mountain of information either.
So he should come and clearly say, regarding my post, what is true and what is not true. Based on that, documentation will be required either from him or from me.


We'll see if he even shows up. And if he does, we'll see whether he starts making noise with things that have nothing to do with the case just like in the screenshot you showed me—or whether he answers the questions he's actually supposed to answer. That will make it pretty clear whether he's acting in good faith or not.

Does it seem logical to you all to handle it this way? Because that's how these things are normally done. (edited)

 **Dr.W** 26/06/2026 12:00
Hello mates, how's the Housebets situation going? Wasn't POrchy supposed to come here to answer questions and give his side of the story? When is he going to join?
Best regards, and thanks for everything. Please keep me informed if you can.

 **Gamba Tavern** **BOT** 26/06/2026 17:34
@Dr.W Here is the transcript:

 [transcript-295.html](#)
90.17 KB

 **Cole** 26/06/2026 21:46
Welcome **@gporchy**, owner of HouseBets! 🙌
@Dr.W has brought us some pretty serious concerns hence the invitation.

We'd like to hear more from you regarding his claims.



Dr.W 27/06/2026 1:25

Hey Cole, thanks for the debate.

Would you mind not deleting the channel? There are several important pieces of evidence in there for an ongoing case, and my lawyers have asked me to preserve them.

Thanks!

Just leave it as it is and don't delete it. Thanks!

 Cole Welcome [@gporchy](#), owner of HouseBets! 🙌



Dr.W 27/06/2026 1:31

Wow, [REDACTED] I didn't even notice who had joined. Welcome, Porchy!
Round 2 starts I guess ^^



Dr.W 27/06/2026 16:09

Thanks for joining, Porchy.

I want to keep this calm, fair and structured.

For now, I only want to discuss the X post and the specific allegations in it. Not personal drama, not old issues, not a massive account report, and not unrelated data.

Before we go into evidence, I want Housebets to state its position clearly.

Please answer point by point: admit, deny, or explain.

If something I said is false, say it is false. If support was wrong, say support was wrong. If the calculations were correct, show where they are.

Can we start with that? (edited)



Dr.W 27/06/2026 16:25

-First, just for clarity:

Are you answering here on behalf of Housebets, and should your answers be treated as Housebets' official position on this dispute?



Dr.W 27/06/2026 16:39

[@gporchy](#)



dollartree1 27/06/2026 21:29

[@gporchy](#) can you give your side of the story here man? im excluding myself from this one, but would still like to hear what you have to say

 1



Dr.W 27/06/2026 23:23

I'll leave you the post that's being reviewed here in case it helps as a template.

You can go through it point by point and respond to each claim, stating whether it's true or not, and if your version differs from mine, explain your version of events.

Once we've established which points we agree on and which we don't, we'll move on to the evidence.

Or, if it's easier for you, I can simply ask you a series of questions so you can give your version directly.


Whichever you prefer.

<https://x.com/drwgamba/status/2067841298975887474?s=46&t=TZmjTq6TOrsSGcqYyxjqqa>

Dr. W (@DrWgamba)

I want to reach out to @GambaTavern see if it works as they've said. I want to expose @housebets and its owner @pOrchy

This is a very short summary. I'm willing to provide any proof, evidence, screenshots, videos, or documentation needed to verify that everything stated here



X • 19/06/2026 7:25



MC 28/06/2026 0:30

@gporchy please give your side as without more information we only have one side of the story.

And that's what we have to go on



gporchy 28/06/2026 0:30

Dr W do you give me permission to share all of your account details here ?

Dr.W If this is going to start with people saying things that aren't true, we're off to a bad start.



gporchy 28/06/2026 0:32

report on X: no, I do not consent.

I do not give Housebets, you, or anyone connected to Housebets permission to publish my private account report, transaction history, wallet information, internal account data, account-linked records or any selectively prepared "report" about my account on X or anywhere else.

The moral of the story, as always, is no crying in the casino, especially when you ended up!



MC 28/06/2026 0:43

@Dr.W do you give permission for your account history to be shared



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 28/06/2026 0:54

Yes, exactly. The issue for me is not “Housebets can’t send anything”.

They can send anything that is relevant to the accusations I am making in this case (the shared post). I actually want them to do that.

What I don’t want is irrelevant private data, personal information, full account dumps, or unrelated material being sent to confuse the issue or shift the focus away from the actual dispute.

For this case, the relevant records are things like:

- Weekly/Lossback calculation;
- May Monthly Bonus calculation;
- ledger entries for those rewards;
- GGR/NGR used in the calculations;
- slider history;
- weekly/monthly cycle timestamps;
- leaderboard snapshots;
- eligibility/funding records for elmourabut and lucasmartirini;
- game/category mapping records;
- explanation of whether categories, game labels or leaderboard contribution rules changed.

That is all relevant.

What is not relevant is KYC, IPs, device data, private personal details, unrelated account notes, unrelated gameplay history, or a full private account report used to bury the actual questions.

If some wallet or transaction data is genuinely needed to verify a point, then it can be redacted or provided privately to an independent reviewer. I’m not trying to hide evidence.

I’m simply saying: keep it relevant to the accusations, redact private identifiers, and answer the case point by point.

-Dr.W earlier in the ticket ^



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) Yes, exactly. The issue for me is not “Housebets can’t send anything”. They can send an...

MC 28/06/2026 1:04

Probably best to just wait for his direct approval so there isn’t a completely new fight on the info released



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) Yes, exactly. The issue for me is not “Housebets can’t send anything”. They can send an...

Dr.W 28/06/2026 1:39

Before anything else, I need to flag something serious.

This has already started exactly in the direction I warned about.

Porchy was invited here to answer the allegations in the X post. Before answering a single point, his first move was to ask for permission to share “all account details”, post part of a private Telegram conversation from before this Council channel existed, and disclose my legal name / personal identity without my consent.

I am not saying this to play victim. I am saying it because it proves the concern was real.

If this is how it starts, imagine giving open permission for him to publish whatever he has prepared, without scope, without redactions and without first answering the actual allegations.

I use DrW publicly. My legal identity is not relevant to whether Housebets paid Weekly/Lossback, May Monthly Bonus, or whether the leaderboard accounts were genuinely eligible.

So I ask the Council to please redact/remove any personal identifying information and make clear that personal identifiers should not be used here again. I have preserved what happened and will deal with that separately through the appropriate channels.

Now, to be very clear:

I am not saying Housebets cannot share evidence.

I am saying Housebets should share evidence that is relevant to the accepted scope of this ticket: the X post I linked.

That means I have no issue with relevant account data such as deposits, withdrawals, dates, amounts, wagers, ledger entries, rewards, bonus history, slider history, GGR/NGR, cycle timestamps, leaderboard snapshots, eligibility/funding records and game/category mapping.

What I do not consent to is “all account details”, a full account dump, unrelated private information, KYC, IPs, device data, wallet ownership info, unrelated Telegram conversations, unrelated gameplay, internal notes, or personal identifiers.

I am not hiding evidence. I am asking for relevance.

As the case progresses, if any information becomes necessary regarding what has actually been alleged in the post and accepted for review here, I have no problem providing it myself.


But first we need Porchy / Housebets to answer the allegations and explain their version, so we can identify exactly where our versions differ and what evidence is actually needed.



Whatever is necessary at that stage, as long as it respects my privacy and stays relevant to this dispute, I commit to providing it. And if there is something I do not have, I will ask Porchy for it here in front of everyone so he can provide it too, if the Council agrees.

For this ticket, I only ask that we keep the focus where it belongs: on the Housebets allegations in the X post.



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 28/06/2026 1:41



 **gporchy** *Click to see attachment* 



Dr.W 28/06/2026 1:41

@gporchy you were invited here to give Housebets' side regarding the X post, not to request permission to dump “all account details”.

Also, your first response here already crossed a serious line: you disclosed my legal name / personal

identity without my consent. I am not here under my legal name, and my personal identity is not relevant to this dispute. Please do not do that again.

About your “defamation” comment: I strongly deny that. Every serious public claim I have made has been backed by evidence. If you believe something I said is false, then say exactly which statement is false and explain why.

But please do not use legal threats as a shield to avoid answering the Council.

You can provide any relevant records needed to answer the post. So let’s start simple:

- 1) Are you answering here on behalf of Housebets?
- 2) Did Housebets support tell me that the Monday 25 May weekly covered gameplay from 21–24 May?
- 3) My major loss happened after that period: 25 May 22:23 → 26 May 02:09, 91,168.375326 USDT deposited and lost. Which Weekly/Lossback cycle counted those losses?
- 4) Was my Weekly slider set to 100% Lossback at the relevant time? If yes, where is the calculation and ledger entry? If no, show the slider history.
- 5) Was the May Monthly Bonus calculated and paid separately from leaderboard payments? If yes, show the separate calculation and ledger entry.
- 6) Do you still stand by Housebets’ email confirming elmourabut and lucasmartirini as “legitimate and eligible accounts”?
- 7) Were those accounts funded only with normal real-money customer deposits, or did they have any staff, test, internal, affiliate, promo, raw-balance, creator-deal, bonus-only or special account setup?
- 8) Did game/category labels, mappings or leaderboard contribution rules change during or after the dispute?

Legal threats, jokes about “no crying in the casino”, private Telegram screenshots and comments about my identity do not answer any of these points.

Please answer the questions directly first, then explain your version.



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 28/06/2026 1:41

[REDACTED]

a screenshot doesnt hurt you relax
do you want resolution?
or would you prefer to have no answers and just go through a court
do not waste our time [@Dr.W](#) with red tape (edited)



gporchy 28/06/2026 1:43

To be clear all the personal info is your entire Housebets activity. No names. No ips. Just your gameplay line by line.

TXPLAYS (Kick.com) do you want resolution?

Dr.W 28/06/2026 1:43



Fair point TX, I hear you.

I do want resolution. That is why I brought this here.

I'll keep it simple from now on and stop over-explaining.

Relevant screenshots, account history, deposits, withdrawals, dates, amounts, wagers, ledgers, bonus records, calculations, slider history, leaderboard data, etc. are all fine if they help resolve the points in the post.

My only line is personal identifiers / private unrelated information. That's it.

I'm not trying to control the process. I just wanted to make sure the case doesn't get buried in unrelated noise.

So yes, let's move forward.

Porchy can share his side and whatever relevant evidence the Council needs. I'll answer anything you ask me and provide anything needed from my side.



gporchy 28/06/2026 1:44

I also just don't have time to reply to your 10000 chat gbt messages



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 28/06/2026 1:45

all right now let's not get sidetracked here

Dr.W Fair point TX, I hear you. I do want resolution. That is why I brought this here. I'll keep it simple from no..



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 28/06/2026 1:46

@gporchy can you make your case with this in mind or is this not gonna be workable?



gporchy 28/06/2026 1:48

Ye fine.

I can share. [REDACTED]

I'm out but will share on Monday. Thanks



Dr.W 28/06/2026 1:53

Fair enough. Thanks for clarifying no names / no IPs.

I won't block relevant redacted Housebets activity being shared if the Council needs it to review the post. Deposits, withdrawals, dates, amounts, wagers, gameplay, rewards, ledgers, bonus history, etc. are fine if they help answer the case.

But raw gameplay line by line alone does not answer the reward dispute unless it comes with the actual reward records: cycle timestamps, slider history, Weekly/Lossback calculation, May Monthly Bonus calculation, GGR/NGR and ledger entries.

[REDACTED]

Monday is fine. To save everyone time, please make sure your response answers the actual points:

- 1) Which Weekly/Lossback cycle counted the 91,168.375326 USDT loss after 25 May 22:23?
- 2) Where is the Weekly/Lossback calculation and ledger entry?
- 3) Where is the May Monthly Bonus calculation and ledger entry?
- 4) Do you still stand by Housebets confirming elmourabut and lucasmartirini as “legitimate and eligible accounts”?
- 5) Were those accounts funded only with normal real-money deposits, with no staff/test/internal/affiliate/promo/raw-balance/bonus-only/special setup?
- 6) What game/category mapping was used for their leaderboard volume, and did any labels or contribution rules change?

That is all I am asking for.

If something I said is false, say exactly what is false and show the record.

See you Monday.

Just to be clear, this is not the first time you have tried to link DrW to my legal identity.

I won't speculate on why you keep doing it, but it is irrelevant to this dispute and I do not consent to it.

This case is being discussed here under DrW / the DrW brand, and the accepted scope is the Housebets post: Weekly/Lossback, May Monthly Bonus, leaderboard accounts, missing records and game/category mapping.

My legal name or any private identifiers are not relevant to any of that.

If anything needs to be handled formally or legally, use the proper private/legal channels, not a public Discord ticket.

Please do not use my legal name or private identifiers again. I have preserved the disclosure and reserve all rights regarding it.

Now please stay on the actual Housebets points.

[REDACTED]



Elder 28/06/2026 2:01

I mean here's what im gonna say. And this isnt a big ask. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 28/06/2026 2:07

[REDACTED]



Dr.W 28/06/2026 2:09

I will answer this, Elder, but I think the framing is a bit dangerous.

Asking “what are you doing that is causing issues?” can easily shift the focus from the facts of this case to my personality or my past disputes.

I don't think that is the standard we agreed on here.

The reason I have had issues with casinos is simple: I actually play, I play high stakes with my own money, I ask for records when something does not add up, and I do not stay quiet when a casino refuses to explain things.

That does not mean I am always right.

I am happy to be proven wrong with evidence.

But my past disputes do not decide whether Housebets is right or wrong in this case.

This case should be judged on its own facts:

- what Housebets support said;
- whether Weekly/Lossback was calculated and paid;
- whether May Monthly Bonus was calculated and paid;
- why Housebets confirmed the two accounts as “legitimate and eligible”;
- whether those accounts were genuinely normal real-money accounts;
- and whether the leaderboard/game-category mapping was transparent.

If Housebets says any of my claims are false, they should say exactly which claims are false and provide the records.

That is the fair way to review this.

As far as I know, every dispute I've had has been handled publicly, with evidence, and no one has been able to disprove it. In the end, they've all ended up admitting the facts.

So for the council to start shifting the focus to unrelated matters instead of the case itself.. I don't really understand the point. But I will answer anything you need, np in my part



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 28/06/2026 2:12

Hermano, con respeto, entiendo que intentas ser muy calculador, pero esto acaba teniendo el efecto contrario y hace que la gente no quiera intentar ayudar. Tienes que empezar a simplificar tus respuestas y dejar de intentar controlar la conversación.



Dr.W 28/06/2026 2:13

I'm not trying to control anything. I'm just asking that this case be judged on the evidence related to this case.

If more evidence is needed from me, I'll provide it. If it's needed from Porchy, I'll ask him to provide it too. Simple as that.



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) Hermano, con respeto, entiendo que intentas ser muy calculador, pero esto acaba teni...



Dr.W 28/06/2026 2:18

I'm happy to provide whatever you need to verify the facts. I can record videos of my account, share screenshots, account history, or anything else that's relevant.

Just let me know what you want to verify, and I'll provide it. Then Porchy can confirm whether what I've submitted is accurate or not, or provide his own evidence if he disagrees.

If there's a better way you'd like to handle it, I'm completely open to that as well. I just want this to be resolved in the fairest and simplest way possible. But ty for the advice bro. Im trying my best just that.



MC 28/06/2026 2:48



Can [@gporchy](#) submit information that is relevant to the case

I see no reason why anything would be shared in his statement that doesn't relate to this specific case



Dr.W 28/06/2026 2:56

I already gave my version, evidence, scope, and confirmed that any relevant records can be reviewed.

I don't want to repeat myself or turn this into a debate about me.

Porchy was invited to answer the allegations in the post. So far he has not answered the actual points: Weekly/Lossback, May Monthly Bonus, the "legitimate and eligible" accounts, missing calculations, or game/category mapping.

Please ask him what he admits, denies, or says is false.

After that, I'll provide anything else the Council needs from my side.



Gamba Tavern BOT 28/06/2026 2:58

[@Dr.W](#) Here is the transcript:



[transcript-295.html](#)

143.54 KB



MC 28/06/2026 3:52

[@gporchy](#) please share your side

As that sounds like permission to me

[MC](#) As that sounds like permission to me



[TXPLAYS \(Kick.com\)](#) 28/06/2026 3:53

he said monday



MC 28/06/2026 3:55

Oh ok my bad

[TXPLAYS \(Kick.com\)](#) he said monday



Dr.W 29/06/2026 17:11

So here we are on monday



gporchy 29/06/2026 19:16

Yep one sec

Will I get a full apology and \$100k compensation when you admit you're wrong ?



Dr.W 29/06/2026 19:18

Stop going in circles and beating around the bush; you can't change something that's empirical. Answer the questions and let's get started



gporchy 29/06/2026 19:23

Please remind everyone here the outstanding amount you believe you are owed (edited)

[REDACTED]



Dr.W I'll leave you the post that's being reviewed here in case it helps as a template. You can go through it poi...

Dr.W 29/06/2026 19:28

You're mixing scopes.

For this ticket, I'm not asking the Council to decide a random final number.

The post being reviewed is about the 91,168.375326 USDT I deposited/lost after the 25 May weekly cycle, plus the missing Weekly/Lossback and May Monthly Bonus calculations.

So the question is simple:

Was that loss counted in the correct Weekly/Lossback cycle or not?

If yes, show the calculation and ledger entry.

If no, explain why.

Any larger amount belongs to the wider formal complaint with other unresolved issues, not this specific ticket.

Let's not mix everything. Just answer the calculations for this post first.

After that we will go with the leaderboard. Or of the council want to do in other way

Let's let them do their job. Look how much time has passed, and you still haven't even given your side of the story. That's a bit strange.



gporchy 29/06/2026 19:30

Nah if it's your imaginary legal lawyers

They can email the details I gave you

I'm sharing the entire case here as a pdf for these guys to see, [REDACTED]

Ah lol sorry this is the council here



Dr.W 29/06/2026 19:42

Numerical claims aside, you've already been told that you can, and should respond. We're still waiting to hear your version of the events.

Is it just me, or did you actually try to post everything you were explicitly told not to, pretending you didn't realize the council was here, only for the server to block your link/pdf? Or am I dreaming? If

that's the case, it would suggest bad faith from the outset and could potentially amount to a criminal offence by Porchy. I just wanted to put that on the record. (edited)

To be clear, it's already been made clear what this individual can and cannot share, yet he continues to ignore those instructions while still refusing to address the actual issues. Are we going to keep going in circles like this for much longer?

[@Cole](#) Could you address this, please? Or the council I mean



Gamba Tavern BOT 29/06/2026 19:48

[@Dr.W](#) Here is the transcript:



[transcript-295.html](#)

160.63 KB



gporchy 29/06/2026 19:51

Sorry can you speak in very plain English.

I'm going to share a pdf of your entire account history.

It will show

1. Logins
2. Deposits
3. Withdrawals
4. Gameplay / sessions
5. Site settings

Your entire x accusations (and sharing my address) ignored any due process. In order to defend all of this I'm going to need to share everything above. Obviously...



Dr.W 29/06/2026 19:54

Plain English:

I am not asking you to dump my entire account history. As I told you, you cant.

I am asking you to answer the post being reviewed here.

Share the parts that prove your answer:

- deposits/losses for 25–26 May;
- Weekly/Lossback cycle and calculation;
- May Monthly Bonus calculation;
- reward/bonus ledger;
- slider/settings history relevant to rewards;
- leaderboard snapshots;
- eligibility/funding records for elmourabut and lucasmartirini;
- game/category mapping.

Redact or leave out anything unrelated.

If your PDF answers those points, send it.

Also send the exact same PDF to me.

But “entire account history” is not the question.

The question is: were the claims in the post true or false? (edited)



gporchy 29/06/2026 19:55

Unfortunately you can't post the allegations you've done, and expect me to defend them without granular details of gameplays

I'm sharing once, not wasting anymore time on you.

I'll hide your deposit and withdrawal addresses



Dr.W 29/06/2026 19:56

You've been warned.

TXPLAYS (Kick.com) Yes, exactly. The issue for me is not “Housebets can't send anything”. They can send an...



Dr.W 29/06/2026 19:58

You're not going to stop everyone from seeing how blatantly you stole by putting on more of these circus acts. Answer the questions.



1

They told you exactly what to do and we are waiting

I've already presented my side of the story in the post above. I've provided evidence, and I'll provide whatever else is needed to substantiate everything I've stated. He still hasn't given his version of events or responded to any of the allegations. The only thing he's done is threaten to release a massive PDF with who knows what in it.

All he has to do is point out exactly which of my claims are false. My allegations are specific and concrete, and from there we can determine what additional information is needed, if the Council agrees.



gporchy 29/06/2026 20:29



[Housebets_DrWgamba_Response_redacted.pdf](#)

666.38 KB

TXPLAYS (Kick.com) Yes, exactly. The issue for me is not “Housebets can't send anything”. They can send an...



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 29/06/2026 20:30

for the record that's Dr.W's message copied and pasted

very thorough



Dr.W 29/06/2026 20:45

Thanks for the PDF.

This is finally something concrete to review, so I'll go through it point by point and respond properly.

For now, I won't argue with side comments or distractions.

But I already see the key issues:

1) The PDF says the applied Weekly cycle was 18–28 May, but it also says weekly settles on a 7-day cycle. Please explain how 18–28 May is the correct weekly cycle.

2) Housebets support told me the Monday 25 May weekly covered gameplay from 21–24 May. The PDF now uses a different window. Please explain which one is correct and why support told me that.

3) The PDF uses daily rollups. My disputed loss is the specific window 25 May 22:23 → 26 May 02:09. I need the actual calculation showing how that window was counted.

4) The PDF says elmourabut and lucasmartirini were linked to each other and flagged for bonus/lossback abuse, but Housebets previously confirmed them to me as “legitimate and eligible”. Please explain when they were flagged, when their leaderboard score was zeroed, and why they were confirmed as eligible before.

5) The PDF admits the category taxonomy changed and House Games was created later. Please provide the actual game/category mapping and leaderboard snapshots from the relevant period, not only final standings.

I’ll review the full doc when I arrive home in some hours, but these are the first points that need answering.



Elder 29/06/2026 20:51

@gporchy login data does not show ip correct

If so redact that and the depo addresses and withdrawal addys then drop full scope



Dr.W 29/06/2026 21:02

Just to clarify, full scope of the ticket is fine.

But IPs, KYC, device data, full wallet addresses and private identifiers should stay redacted or only go privately to an independent reviewer if genuinely needed.

Relevant records are fine. Private identifiers are not. Is that ok **@Elder**? Or what you need exactly just to help if i can



Elder 29/06/2026 21:02

He should edit out ips and depo addys/withdrawal addys.

Then release everything with relative pts to back his case



Dr.W 29/06/2026 21:04

Perfect, that’s exactly what I mean.

Relevant points are fine. Private identifiers stay redacted.

I’ll review and respond point by point. Thank you



Dr.W 30/06/2026 8:16

Good evening everyone, sorry for sending this late. It got a bit late on my side.

I haven’t had as much time as I would have liked to prepare the report, but I tried to keep it as simple and easy to follow as possible for the Council.

If anything is unclear, or if you have any doubts about any part of it, just let me know.

I haven't attached the evidence at this stage because I believe Housebets should be the one to officially provide everything mentioned in the report. If they refuse to do that, that is fine. I have videos, records, screenshots, UI recordings and everything else mentioned in the PDF. I have more than 500 files organised into folders.

I'm here for anything you need or any questions you may have.

Thanks again for your time. Hopefully this is enough to help get a fair outcome.

- I authorize Housebets to provide the Council with everything referenced in this document, as well as all the records and information requested in it.



DrWgamba_Housebets_Final_Council_Rebuttal_10_1_EN.pdf

143.1 KB



Dr.W 30/06/2026 16:11

Good morning @gporchy and Council, as I said, I wanted to give Housebets the opportunity to provide all of this material first. However, if they do not do so, you can ask me at any time for any specific piece of evidence supporting the PDF. What I would like, however, is for Housebets to provide it first, so that I can expose any contradiction from them, as I have already done by requiring them to put their response in writing in a PDF. That being said, if the Council needs ANY evidence regarding any of the arguments made in the PDF, I will be more than happy to share it. Have a good day.



Gamba Tavern BOT 30/06/2026 16:22

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

184.89 KB



MC 01/07/2026 6:42

@gporchy



Dr.W 01/07/2026 19:34

Given that the last time [REDACTED] @gporchy responded was two days ago, would counsel like me to send something that corroborates everything I'm saying? Any specific evidence?

Or what is the next step now? I'm available 24/7 for anything you need.

If you'd like me to go into more detail or clarify anything, just let me know.



gporchy 01/07/2026 19:39

I can do an Ai reply later but DrMug this will be my last reply.

So make sure you have all of your psychotic questions.

And I can't reiterate more - you must be wasting so much of your time here. I'm curious what are you actually doing apart from this.



Dr.W 01/07/2026 19:46

I won't engage with personal insults.

These are not "psychotic questions". They are audit questions.

If Housebets' position is correct, the records should make that clear: cycle IDs, settlement records, slider audit trail, leaderboard snapshots, risk/zeroing timestamps, game/category mapping and reward ledger.

If any request is unreasonable, I'm happy for the Council to say which one.

Since you said your next reply will be your last, please make it a direct point-by-point answer to the accepted scope.

I'll leave my response here again so you can go through it and reply to everything.



DrWgamba_Housebets_Final_Council_Rebuttal_10_1_EN_7.pdf

143.1 KB



Dr.W 02/07/2026 10:46


Good evening [@gporchy](#) and Council, I still haven't received anything you mentioned. It's been three days already; is there any problem? (edited)

Yesterday you said you would send everything later, but unless I'm mistaken, I haven't received anything.



gporchy 02/07/2026 11:08

It's the morning old sport. I'm not being audited. Waiting for the council to confirm if anything is needed from my side.

 **gporchy** It's the morning old sport. I'm not being audited. Waiting for the council to confirm if anything is nee...



Dr.W 02/07/2026 11:22

Understood.

Just to be clear, this is not about auditing Porchy personally.

This is about reviewing Housebets' own PDF and the claims made in it.

My rebuttal identifies the exact records needed to verify those claims: cycle IDs, settlement records, slider audit trail, leaderboard snapshots, risk/zeroing timestamps, game/category mapping and reward ledger.

I'll wait for the Council to confirm the next step.

If the Council believes no further records are needed, that's fine, just say so.

If records are needed, Housebets should either provide them or state clearly that they will not / cannot provide them. And I also can provide all I send on PDF in case you don't want to answer it. (edited)



gporchy 02/07/2026 11:37

Also would you like us to close your account ?



Dr.W 02/07/2026 13:11

No. I am not requesting account closure.

I do not consent to any deletion, alteration, anonymisation or loss of access to account records,

reward records, ledger entries, support chats, cycle logs, leaderboard data, risk logs or game/category mapping.

Everything must be preserved for the Council review and any future legal process. I already requested preservation formally by email and Housebets did not respond.

Closing or restricting the account is not a response to the allegations.

What I want is simple: respond to the rebuttal and to the accepted scope of this ticket.

My PDF addresses Housebets' claims point by point. If Housebets disagrees, then say exactly which point is wrong and provide the record that proves it.

Please focus on the actual issues: Weekly/Lossback, May Monthly Bonus, the two leaderboard accounts, missing records, live pressure and game/category mapping.



Gamba Tavern BOT 02/07/2026 13:12

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html


199.12 KB



Dr.W 02/07/2026 13:31

Also, to be clear, I do not consent to any removal, reduction or forfeiture of my VIP level, XP, Keys, rewards balance or any accumulated account value.

Those must also be preserved exactly as they are while this dispute is under review.



Thank you

Housebets should now either answer my PDF point by point with records, or the Council should tell us what is still needed.

I'm ready to provide anything relevant from my side.

Dr.W I'll leave my response here again so you can go through it and reply to everything.



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 02/07/2026 20:00

@Cigar @Cole @Elder @MC

incase you didnt read over this



2



Elder 03/07/2026 3:22

@Dr.W we will be excluding ourself from this case

We find us to be biased [REDACTED]



Dr.W 03/07/2026 3:22

[REDACTED]



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 3:23

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

206.95 KB



Dr.W 03/07/2026 3:23

[REDACTED]



Elder 03/07/2026 3:24

[REDACTED]



Dr.W 03/07/2026 3:24

Im gonna share all evidence, and this is prob the worst thing you doing in a case that is obvisly you dindt even ask for proofs



Elder 03/07/2026 3:24

Our point is to be fair



Dr.W 03/07/2026 3:24

I destroy his manufactured pdf



Elder 03/07/2026 3:24

We can't be fair

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

So to be unbiased or not be leaned a certain way we dont want to touch this

[REDACTED]

Seems you dont want a fair view point you want your side to be the only side



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 3:26

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

217.5 KB



Elder 03/07/2026 3:27

Anything else you need?



Dr.W 03/07/2026 3:35

For the record, my PDF is limited to the accepted X-post scope and asks Housebets for specific records: cycle IDs, settlement records, slider audit trail, leaderboard snapshots, risk/zeroing timestamps, game/category mapping and reward ledger.

If Gamba Tavern will not review the case, please confirm the transcript and all uploaded files will be preserved, and that no conclusion has been made on the merits.

I remain ready to provide any evidence needed to any neutral reviewer.

[Redacted]

You should be ashamed of yourselves. Cole, I expected more from you. At least I'm leaving with the full transcript and the PDF that's going to expose these thieves.

From the very beginning, this has all been a performance. I've presented overwhelming evidence, and you even allowed them to call 10-day cycles "weekly bonuses" just so everything would fit the narrative and no losses would appear.

[Redacted]

This isn't an impartial council. It's a witch hunt driven by personal interests.

[Redacted]

Just like when you started suggesting that the issue was that I'd had disputes with multiple casinos, when the accused party here was **Housebets**.

Pathetic. This is your very first case, and when I publish everything point by point, it's going to be the thing that destroys every bit of credibility you've built.



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 3:39

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

222.63 KB

Dr.W [Redacted] May...



Elder 03/07/2026 3:40

Hey bro we handle over 50 cases

[Redacted]



Dr.W 03/07/2026 3:53

If you handle 50+ cases, then you know the difference between arrogance and asking for evidence-based review.

my PDF is specific and limited to this case. It asks for records, not opinions.

If you recuse yourselves, I respect that. Just please make clear that this is because you feel unable to be neutral, not because the Housebets claims were reviewed and proven correct.

That distinction matters. And dont delete this channel please, I want to share what happened here. So people see how the case has been fairly treated.

One final message for you, Cole.

Either you misled me when you said this place was about finding the truth, because that clearly never even got a chance to happen, or you've chosen to be part of this outcome.

I don't know what influenced this decision, and at this point I don't really care.

What matters is that you weren't able to say Housebets was right. Instead, you chose not to review the case at all. That speaks for itself.

Don't worry though, I'll continue this myself. I'll publish all the evidence, the timeline, the records, and let people judge both Housebets' conduct and how this case was handled here.

Thank you anyway.

One last request: please don't delete this channel. It contains evidence that may be needed in the future, and preserving it is the very least that should be done.



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 3:53

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



[transcript-295.html](#)

227.87 KB



Elder 03/07/2026 4:02

housebets have provided nothing what is there to review?



Dr.W 03/07/2026 4:07

You should at least be ashamed of yourselves watching this after the PDF Porchy sent

Concierge El equipo también puede ayudar

2nd, 9th, 16th, 23rd and 30th in April and the ones you claimed I sent a couple of messages ago

and then with your monthly and last weeks weekly they're still looking at a fix, fingers crossed you'll be able to claim by end of day

Ok and in may can you send me days?

May was 7th, 14th, 21st and then due to the migration to the new platform weekly bonus got moved to Monday's therefore was one on the 25th on the new platform.

So this is what the tech team is currently looking at for you, the weekly bonus on the 25th you didn't receive which will include your gameplay from the 21st-24th. As well as your monthly bonus.

Alright, thank you very much for the information. It's actually exactly what I needed, and I will now carry out my own checks.

Escribe un mensaje...

Spencer Inactivo

dates in April when the weekly bonuses were paid? I mean the exact day and time for each payment in April.

Could you also provide the 4 exact dates and times for May?

Thank you very much.

Sure, will grab those for you now.

This one was easy one xd thank you

You claimed one weekly bonus in April on the 20th at 00:45:59 for \$717.37089061


and one in May on the 21st at 01:52:27 for \$719.48826151

Spencer · 2 min



I mean the weeklys are every 7 day. I want to know in april and may which days exactly were able to claim

Even if i don't play and claim which days were on plataforma available to claim?

Escribe un mensaje...



email_house_suelto.pdf
117.3 KB



[REDACTED]

I have videos, I have the logs, I have everything. And I ALREADY OFFERED IT, because I knew Porchy wasn't going to do it, because he couldn't.

I even have records showing other players receiving the Weekly bonus for the period from the 25th to the 1st. Am I really the only account whose weekly period somehow lasted 10 days?

Look, if you actually want to do this properly and have the guts to admit you got it wrong, I can send you dozens of pieces of evidence. Let's see what excuse you'll come up with then.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

You, on the other hand, seem to be compromised.

Anyway. What a disappointment. I never expected this in a million years. Cole genuinely seemed like someone who cared about doing the right thing and wouldn't allow these kinds of irregularities to happen.

Now you're part of them.



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 4:20

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

244.38 KB



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 03/07/2026 4:26

Dr.W

I'm going to be completely honest with you.

Porchys document was beyond suffice, it's like you threw in a ChatGPT prompt "Pick apart this document with legal jargon and find any potential counters to the rebuttal by using burdens of proof over and over till they get so annoyed they eventually cant substantiate outrageous requests" (edited)

I dont think any of us sees a resonable doubt that House bets acted in bad faith

At this point we have seen everything we have to see. Im confident you wont accept anything less than being correct.

We have nothing further on the matter.



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 4:32

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

250.08 KB



Dr.W 03/07/2026 4:36

Claiming that a PDF generated by Claude—with no evidence, just words—saying that a weekly bonus lasted 10 days instead of 7, while the support team themselves confirmed it wasn't the case and other players were paid within those same 7 days, isn't just absurd, it's bordering on stupidity.

And it seems this has turned into a competition to see who can say the most ridiculous thing. Good luck with this Council. This is going to look absolutely fantastic once it's made public.

Dollar, anything to add? Or would you rather not embarrass yourself any further? Anyone else want to say something? Cole? You've gone awfully quiet. Nothing to say? This is what a serious, properly handled case looks like, right?

FOR THE RECORD YOU DELETE PROOFS AND I GOT RECORDED ALL (edited)




Elder 03/07/2026 4:41

I didn't delete a thing



Dr.W 03/07/2026 4:42



1

OH YES YOU DID

AND IVE BEEN RECORDING AND TRANSCRIBING ALL MY LITTLE FRIEND

you or other of the council

the proofs that incriminated porchy have been deleted



Cole 03/07/2026 4:42



MC 03/07/2026 4:43



If you have all these lawyers to go take them down then please go to that route



Elder 03/07/2026 4:43

I deleted not one comment



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 4:43

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

262.52 KB



MC 03/07/2026 4:44

Please also use ur own words

Not every message needs to be 10 paragraphs long



Cole 03/07/2026 4:45

We'd all waste our time spending any more energy on this.

@Dr.W we've elaborated our perspective, you've shared yours.

There's nothing we'll do for you this time unfortunately.

Best of wishes.



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 4:46

Ticket Closed

This ticket has been closed.

Closed By **Duration**

@Cole 12d 7h

03/07/2026 4:46



Cole 03/07/2026 21:25

TL;DR:

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- He filed a report regarding HouseBets [Redacted]
- We (the council) reviewed the report and came to the conclusion that he's just as retarded as everyone else claims him to be.
- We didn't want to proceed with the case.
- [Redacted]



Gamba Tavern BOT 03/07/2026 21:33



@Dr.W has reopened this ticket.

03/07/2026 21:33



Dr.W 03/07/2026 21:33



As soon as I land, I'll make sure nothing has been deleted, and then I'll start flooding the channel with evidence.

You're going to be completely exposed. Shame on you.



Cole



To be honest I haven't even read through any of your or HouseBets' PDFS xdddd

I trust my fellow council members to review the evidence properly and interestingly enough they all came to the same conclusion.

Sorry all the info:

To be honest I haven't even read through any of your or HouseBets' PDFS xdddd

I trust my fellow council members to review the evidence properly and interestingly enough they all came to the same conclusion.

See you in some hours waiter



Cole 03/07/2026 21:39

I'll give you a heads up out of respect.

I probably won't respond to any future posts/comments by you as I can't be asked with wasting my hours & energy on this and you.

You're free to post whatever you want and I hope you'll find pleasure in doing so.

Thank you once again for bringing more visibility to the Gamba Tavern! 🤝




Dr.W 03/07/2026 21:41

I'll accept your apology when you finally decide to actually read everything and admit that I was right about the Housebets case—and that you handled it badly.

I'm not a resentful person, my friend, but I always see things through to the end once I start them.



Dr.W 04/07/2026 8:47



1 WEEKLY / LOSSBACK + \$91K LOSS

Housebets says my Weekly/Lossback was correctly paid at \$0.

They claim that the weekly cycle applied was May 18–28, and that I finished that period in profit +\$48,990 (10-day weekly xd)

They also confirm that my Weekly slider was at 0% Rakeback / 100% Lossback, but they say no Lossback was owed because, according to them, the chosen cycle ended in profit.

*REALITY/EVIDENCE:

First catch, support confirms that in May, due to the migration, the weekly bonus was from May 21 to May 24 and the next cycle would start from May 25 (first photo)

Second catch, support confirms that I claimed the bonus for the May 21 to May 24 cycle, but wasn't it May 18–28? (second photo)

Third catch, support confirms that on May 21 I claimed the weekly for \$719 (third photo)

How is it possible that the cycle created by Housebets and confirmed in the PDF was from May 18 to May 28 if support confirmed that the new weekly started from May 25, that I claimed the one from May 21 to May 24, and that I also claimed the one on May 21 for \$719? How is it possible to claim these weeklies if the cycle is from May 18 to May 28?

Very easy, on the 25th I had losses of \$91k and I never deposited again, making the weekly all losses, -\$91k. Housebets didn't want to pay me that money and invented a Cycle that contradicts all the evidence and that came out in profit so they wouldn't have to pay.

-The fourth catch is insane, because on May 30 the UI showed losses of -\$37,799, so Housebets now says it was 0 because of the 10-day cycle, the UI says -\$37,799, and the ledger, the reality, is that it was -\$91k. Can Housebets make up its mind? It can't have so many realities and have them all fit together.

dates in April when the weekly bonuses were paid? I mean the exact day and time for each payment in April.
Could you also provide the 4 exact dates and times for May?
Thank you very much.

Sure, will grab those for you now.

This one was easy one xd thank you

You claimed one weekly bonus in April on the 20th at 00:45:59 for \$717.37089061
and one in May on the 21st at 01:52:27 for \$719.48826151

Spencer - 2 min



I mean the weeklys are every 7 day. I want to know in april and may which days exactly were able to claim

Even If i don't play and claim which days were on plataform available to claim?

Escribe un mensaje...

Regarding last week's weekly bonus can see you claimed it on the 25th at 1:10am BST.

I don't claim/receive nothing. Where Is the bonus? I just receive leaderboard prizes 1k and 5k

And last week I also don't receive my weekly loses. Bonus

You can check it and see the last tickets that are not answered yet.


Checking on this for you now, bare with me a few minutes please

Ofc no problem I will wait. Thank you.


Our tech team are still actively working on issues regarding the monthly bonuses, hoping to have it claimable by end of day for you. Also have them looking back at last week's bonus for you.


Understand it's frustrating and appreciate

Escribe un mensaje...



UI:





2 MAY MONTHLY BONUS / MONTHLY SLIDER


Housebets says my May Monthly Bonus was correctly \$0.

They claim that the monthly cycle for May was 0% Rakeback / 100% Lossback

*REALITY/EVIDENCE:

Support admits that it was at 50% Rakeback / 50% Lossback, and they also admit that the Monthly was live at 50/50 on May 26

Problem: the UI doesn't lie and shows Monthly 50/50 active during May, where you can clearly see that 1d21h was left with this configuration, and support first talked about a Monthly Bonus "claimed" and then said tech was working to make it claimable. Housebets, your lies are starting to pile up...



3 LEADERBOARD / FAKE ACCOUNTS THAT PRESSURED THE RACE

I was first on the leaderboard and two accounts appeared, elmourabut and lucasmartirini, with massive wager pressuring the race.

I complained and Housebets confirmed to me through support/email that they had already reviewed everything and that they were "legitimate and eligible" accounts, and that I should keep playing.

*REALITY/EVIDENCE:

When I pushed about the fake categories, the mapping, and the eligibility, Housebets admitted that those accounts were linked to each other, flagged for bonus/lossback abuse, and zeroed on the leaderboard. They disappeared.

Problem: those accounts were visible and pressuring while I kept playing. Even if they were zeroed afterwards, the live pressure had already happened. They tried to scam the top positions, but I caught them and they had to backtrack.

Also, their volume appeared under changed categories and different games, which made it hard to audit what games they were using, how they counted for the leaderboard, and whether they were really legitimate competitors.

The screenshot shows a mobile application interface for a leaderboard titled "All Players". The table lists the top 10 players with their rank, user name, wagered amount, and prize. A red box highlights the 2nd and 3rd ranked players.

| RANK | USER | WAGERED | PRIZE |
|------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1 | DrWgamba | \$3,334,61... | T \$5,00... |
| 2 nd | elmourabut | \$1,494,85... | T \$1,50... |
| 3 rd | lucasmartirini | \$1,204,34... | T \$750... |
| 4 th | Khalid706 | \$1,082,67... | T \$400... |
| 5 th | hatecore | \$541,995... | T \$200... |
| 6 th | dimkadinka | \$269,609... | T \$180... |
| 7 th | Santoceo | \$147,989... | T \$170... |
| 8 th | jtn96 | \$108,561... | T \$160... |
| 9 th | tibcabs | \$56,521.96 | T \$150... |
| 10 th | SkyeBlu | \$48,539.41 | T \$140... |

All Players

| RANK | USER | WAGERED | PRIZE |
|------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| 1 | DrWgamba | \$1,096,07... | \$1,00... |
| 2 nd | elmourabut | \$831,250.... | \$300.... |
| 3 rd | lucasmartirini | \$694,861.... | \$150.... |
| 4 th | Freeman_1 | \$40,681.10 | \$80.00 |
| 5 th | DaveSkeeeZ | \$34,264.50 | \$40.00 |
| 6 th | Khalid706 | \$30,262.36 | \$36.00 |
| 7 th | kadocuk31 | \$23,619.05 | \$34.00 |
| 8 th | DongMeister | \$7,305.24 | \$32.00 |
| 9 th | domani | \$7,000.54 | \$30.00 |
| 10 th | leonaverse08 | \$5,443.43 | \$28.00 |

- Menu
- Sports
- Casino
- Chat
- Search

Housebets

English

Información del usuario

elmourabut
Se unió el 19 may 2026

Propina Un-ignore

91.369 / 500.000 XP


Platinum 5 Pearl 1

Todo el tiempo Mensual Semanal Diario


| | | |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Ganancias/Pérdidas -49,918.72498... | Depósitos 79,000.20500... | Retiros 0.00000000 |
| Total apostado 1,258,486.9997... | Bonos totales 7,092.19872414 | Llaves obtenidas 23,203.224 |

Desglose de apuestas

| | |
|--------------|------------------|
| Tragamonedas | 872,691.01701657 |
| Card | 385,795.98277441 |



EMAIL:



DEPO AND LOSES DAY 25:

| Fecha | Monto | Estado |
|------------------|---------------|------------|
| Tue 26 May 02:09 | 2,879.148969 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 02:05 | 5,757.807339 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 02:03 | 5,757.162379 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 01:26 | 5,759.916381 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 00:14 | 5,759.552032 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 00:11 | 10,941.8171 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:58 | 10,332.706345 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:52 | 11,488.229818 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:50 | 5,759.334203 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:47 | 10,366.853883 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:39 | 8,062.137142 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:32 | 2,303.709735 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:30 | 2,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:29 | 1,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:27 | 1,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:26 | 1,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:23 | 1,000.00 | Completado |

4 OFFICIAL RECORDS + COUNCIL ACTION

Housebets says its PDF proves it owes nothing. It's just ink. It provides nothing else.

Problem: the PDF gives conclusions, but it does not provide the backend records needed to verify those conclusions.

Missing records:

cycle IDs,

settlement records,
slider audit trail,
reward ledger,
leaderboard snapshots,
risk/zeroing timestamps,
game/category mapping.



The Council did not say Housebets was right.

The Council stepped aside / stopped continuing because it said it was biased because of my disputes with other casinos.

I tried to provide proof, I said that anything that was needed could be asked for, but they preferred to attack me and look the other way.

Conclusion: Housebets gave conclusions. I asked for records. The Council did not demand those records and never judged the substance of the case.

The Council is a disgrace, Porchy and Housebets are thieves, and they have to pay me, apologize to me, and if possible kick out the whole Council for being a bunch of lazy, incompetent cheaters. And



I'll say it again: I have every log, every full support conversation, everything recorded on video, screenshots with metadata, and all the evidence. If anyone actually wants to do this properly, start asking for the documentation.

Have a day. (edited)



Dr.W 04/07/2026 9:09

I'm going to sleep.

@Cole , I hope that by the time I wake up, you'll at least acknowledge how badly this case was handled and admit that this evidence is irrefutable.

(By the way, I have plenty more evidence, but I didn't want to make this any more overwhelming than it already is.)

Dr.W **4** OFFICIAL RECORDS + COUNCIL ACTION Housebets says its PDF proves it owes nothing. It's just in...



MC 04/07/2026 9:10

I don't want to say much because I wasn't too too involved in the situation. But I'm not sure what you're hoping to get out of this. I didn't read through everything so I don't wanna say that your claims are false because i didnt look at everything. What do you hope to get out of this?

You've been going on and on for days it's very clear housebets is not going to repay you anything. I think I read that you were up on the site all time I could be wrong but I think I read that just by briefing over the case. Don't you think you should just let it go?

You're just wasting your own time

Also on a separate note. House accounts are ultimately the hardest thing to prove. Plenty of casinos use them and it's just so hard say without a doubt that they were using them.

Even on duel, they could always fabricate that information



Dr.W 04/07/2026 9:24

I appreciate you at least saying you didn't read everything.

What do I want out of this? Simple:

1. The money that is mine if the correct records prove it.
2. A proper acknowledgement that Housebets' PDF did not answer the real issues.
3. My name/image not being left as "the crazy guy complaining after losing".

Saying "you were up overall, just let it go" is honestly very unfair. If someone steals or avoids paying tens of thousands, the fact that I was up elsewhere on the site does not make it okay.

Also, I'm not asking people to magically prove "house accounts" from nothing. I'm showing support chats, UI screenshots, emails and logs. Housebets itself admitted those accounts were linked / flagged / zeroed after previously confirming them as "legitimate and eligible".

That is exactly why I'm asking for records, not opinions.

If Housebets is right, the records prove it.

If they refuse to produce them, that says a lot.

I understand you may think I'm wasting my time, but I'm not going to just ignore this when I believe I caught them manipulating rewards/leaderboards and then hiding behind a PDF.

Because of the deception and pressure created by those fake accounts, I lost **\$91k**. Do you really think that's a small amount? On top of that, they still haven't paid me bonuses that could be worth another **\$20k-30k**. I haven't even finished calculating the exact amount yet. So after catching them, I'm supposed to just forgive money that's rightfully mine?

What I'm doing should be applauded because it helps the community and exposes bad practices that hurt everyone. Instead, I'm the one being treated like the bad guy. I don't think that's fair.

A little support would be nice.

Dr.W Because of the deception and pressure created by those fake accounts, I lost **\$91k**. Do you really think t...



MC 04/07/2026 9:28

[Redacted]



Dr.W 04/07/2026 9:36

if you haven't read the case, judging it by my past disputes is exactly the problem.


Housebets should answer the evidence in this case, not my history.


Perhaps if people actually read the cases and paid attention to the evidence, as clearly didn't happen here, they'd see that what I'm saying is true in those cases as well.

I'm genuinely surprised and disappointed to read responses like these instead of seeing the other side of the story.

We should be talking about Housebets, not about me. That's honestly quite disappointing.

I'm going to sleep now. Have a good day, everyone. I'll read everything when I wake up.

 **Dr.W** I'm genuinely surprised and disappointed to read responses like these instead of seeing the other side o...

 **MC** 04/07/2026 9:40

I wasn't involved in the case. I just see a lot of escalation and I'm trying to calm it down

I don't wanna speak for either side


I know from personal experience that unless you have concrete 100% evidence that websites really just won't budge.

Again, I didn't read this case and maybe you do have concrete 100% evidence but I think if you did people would've said something by now

You are free to use your army of lawyers to do whatever you need

We are done giving attention to you

Please leave the server if you are not satisfied

 **Dr.W** 04/07/2026 9:48

I can't believe what I'm reading. Where's the hidden camera? What the fuck kind of joke is this? This is insane. Maybe it's true after all, some people really have no shame.

disappointed to read responses like these instead of seeing...



MC 09:40

I wasn't involved in the case. I just see a lot of escalation and I'm trying to calm it down

I don't wanna speak for either side

I know from personal experience that unless you have concrete 100% evidence that websites really just won't budge.

Again, I didn't read this case and maybe you do have concrete 100% evidence but I think if you did people would've said something by now

You are free to use your army of lawyers to do whatever you need

We are done giving attention to you

Please leave the server if you are not satisfied

... TXPLAYS (Kick.com) está escribiendo...



Enviar mensaje a #drw



Gamba Tavern **BOT** 04/07/2026 9:48

@Dr.W Here is the transcript:




[transcript-295.html](#)


319.82 KB



Dr.W 05/07/2026 18:41

@dollartree1 even you Boss have bigger balls than you giving opinion of the #HOUSEBETS case.

The Council still muted 



Dr.W 06/07/2026 3:49



Porchy did NOT “substantiate” the accusations. He answered them with conclusions, not records.

The case was NEVER “did withdrawals arrive?” or “did DrW win the final leaderboard?”

The case WAS:



1. Why did Housebets use 18–28 May for Weekly/Lossback when support said the 25 May weekly covered 21–24 May, and their own PDF uses 25 May → 1 Jun for Weekly Leaderboard?
2. Why did Housebets say May Monthly was backend 0/100 when the UI showed Monthly 50/50 and their own Appendix C admits Monthly was live 50/50 on 26 May?
3. Why were elmourabut and lucasmartirini confirmed as “legitimate and eligible”, then later admitted as linked / flagged / zeroed?
4. Where are the actual records: cycle IDs, settlement records, slider audit trail, reward ledger, leaderboard snapshots, zeroing timestamps and game/category mapping?

An 8-page PDF saying “trust us” is not proof.

If Housebets proved it, show the backend records.


Until then, saying “claims were shot dead” is just pretending conclusions are evidence.

Shame on the Council     (edited)



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 06/07/2026 3:55

ChatGPT using all that processing and memory for a whole nothing burger 🦴
time to log off the internet



Dr.W 06/07/2026 3:59

Good dodge.

ChatGPT didn't create the 18-28 "weekly", the Monthly 50/50 UI, or the linked/flagged/zeroed accounts.

If your only answer is "AI", you're proving my point.


Refute it. Come on, let's debate the nonsense you just said, you and me, right here.



Dr.W Good dodge. ChatGPT didn't create the 18-28 "weekly", the Monthly 50/50 UI, or the linked/flagged/ze...



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 06/07/2026 4:04



Why don't you ask house bets that, do I own the website? Stream there? You default to foul play

You don't control website discretion when it comes to cycle and periods for accounting especially when it affects all players. You think your rewards was greater than the total some of the entire website that they conspired against you to extend a cycle?

They also didnt advertise their cycles as a fixed period each each week

If our assessment was not suffice for you, hire a lawyer and dispute it in curaco?



Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:12

That's literally what a Council is for.


If Housebets has "website discretion", then show the global cycle config.

Their own PDF says weekly settles on a 7-day cycle, but uses 18-28 May for Lossback and 25 May → 1 Jun for Weekly Leaderboard.

Support also said the 25 May weekly covered 21-24 May.


If that cycle was real and applied to everyone, one cycle ID proves it.

That's not "forcing arbitration". That's asking for proof.




Support lied me?

explain me how support ADMITS thay I get the bonus on 25????????????????????



But wasn't the cycle from the 18th to the 28th? Hahaha. Was this some kind of ghost warm-up cycle?



 **Dr.W** That's literally what a Council is for. If Housebets has "website discretion", then show the global cycle c...

TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 06/07/2026 4:17

send me the pdf location



Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:18

Explain to me how I got paid **\$719** from another ghost cycle on the 21st, Sherlock!

Oh, what a surprise! Everything matches exactly what I proved.

There was a weekly cycle up to the 21st — **PAID**.


There was another weekly cycle from the 21st to the 24th — **PAID on the 25th**.

So where's the cycle starting on the 25th?

But here's the best part... according to your own PDF, I have yet another cycle.

WHERE IS THE 18TH-28TH CYCLE?

How many weekly cycles are there in this month, Sherlock?!?!



which pdf

i send you whatever you need



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 06/07/2026 4:19

I don't know anyone else who plays on house bets, because of you I learned they existed. But let's see if we can find someone to verify their own accounts cycle activity.



Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:19

I did

Dr.W That's literally what a Council is for. If Housebets has "website discretion", then show the global cycle c...



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 06/07/2026 4:19

"Their own PDF"



Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:19

sending



[Housebets_DrWgamba_Response_redacted.pdf](#)

666.38 KB

and this was my answer



[DrWgamba_Housebets_Final_Council_Rebuttal_10_1_EN_5.pdf](#)

143.1 KB



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 06/07/2026 4:22

do you know anyone else who plays there?




Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:22

If this is genuinely serious and not just for show, spend five minutes looking at my evidence. If it isn't true, I'll pay you **€100,000 tomorrow**. That's on record.

It's a disgrace that people are pretending not to see what's right in front of them just because Housebets isn't a well-known casino. I trusted them because the owner is a well-known public figure. I never imagined he would turn out to be a scammer.

But I guess his losses made him look for savings elsewhere.




TXPLAYS (Kick.com) do you know anyone else who plays there?




Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:22

this guy write me aswell with complains



all the currently available info there for you
Well...I Guess I am not the only one. I knew that was not a mistake 15:33 ✓



It was intentional. I always recived that money and this is crazy 15:33 ✓

I do want my leaderboard I had 15:33 ✓

hes a casino player 15:33

We had no fixed deal 15:34

Message

listed on [Housebets.com](https://housebets.com). 14:48

No first deposit? 14:48 ✓

no we don't offer first deposit bonuses for sports, it just attracts too many abusers unfortunately. 14:49

So this is all u give me?? 14:49 ✓

10% lossback? ha 14:49 ✓

Porchy ★
We give some of our users 30/40% 14:49

When is that paid out? 14:49 ✓

Porchy ★
So you can expect in the future 14:49

When is that paid out? 14:50 ✓

You can pick the day 14:50



And will be paid out on that day each week 14:50

Today edited 14:50 ✓

Friday 14:50 ✓

Message

Sure, I can bonus you now 14:57



09:38



beta.housebets.com



0.27549075



Monthly Bonus: +0.27177446 USDT credited



Rewards

INSTANT REWARDS



Instant Rakeback
Every bet

Claim

BUNDLED REWARDS

Paid in USDT



Daily Rakeback
Resets in 16h 21m 42s

Claim



Weekly Reload
Resets in 2d 16h

Claim



Monthly Bonus
Resets in 29d 16h

Claimed



Bronze 4 Level Up Reward
Available in 411 XP

\$1.80

Your Rewards Slider

Weekly

Monthly



Changes can be made in your dashboard


50% Rakeback



50% Lossback

[View Dashboard →](#)





but i dont know what you need to check, bro their fucking support told me all this before they knew that had to paid me 100k loses

All of my evidence came directly from support **before I even made a complaint**, because they had no idea I was going to lose **\$100k** on the night of the 25th.

Once I had lost it, and they knew they were supposed to pay me **100% Weekly Lossback** and **50% Monthly Lossback**, this entire narrative was created after the fact.

They invented bonus cycles that conveniently showed I had finished in profit with **zero losses**.


Even a blind person can see what's going on.

This is the first time that I feel you are looking into it at least

i have everything, logs of support, screens, videos, I just was waiting so you ask for them, not to cancel the case that was literally crazy man

| Nombre | Fecha de modificación | Tipo | Tamaño |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|
| housebets_2026_05_29_215560999031037 (3) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 6 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_29_215560999031037 (4) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 7 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_29_215560999031037 (7)... | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 37 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_08_215560981892454 (2) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_08_215560981892454 (3) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_16_215560987336526 (1) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_16_215560987336526 (2) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_16_215560987736881 (2) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_29_215560999031037 (1) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 4 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_29_215560999031037 (5) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 34 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_29_215560999031037 (6) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 34 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_16_215560987736881 (1) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_17_215560987800045 (1) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_17_215560987800045 (2) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_17_215560988074322 (1) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 7 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_17_215560988074322 (2) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 7 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_17_215560988074322 (3) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 6 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_22_215560992365525 (2) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_22_215560992365525 (3) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_22_215560992365525 (1) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_24_215560993548322 (1) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |
| housebets_2026_05_24_215560993548322 (2) | 30/06/2026 3:17 | Documento de texto | 2 KB |

all evidence. man this is not just for money. this is for the fucking gamblers to know the fucking truth



I thought that was the purpose of this, i cant understand...



Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:37

ALL DEPOS ON 25, NO MORE WITHDRAW AFTER NO MORE GAMES ON LEDGER SO ALL LOSES.

| Fecha | Monto | Estado |
|------------------|----------------|------------|
| Tue 26 May 02:09 | 2,879,148,969 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 02:05 | 5,757,807,339 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 02:03 | 5,757,162,379 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 01:26 | 5,759,916,381 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 00:14 | 5,759,552,032 | Completado |
| Tue 26 May 00:11 | 10,941,817,171 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:58 | 10,332,706,345 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:52 | 11,488,229,818 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:50 | 5,759,334,203 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:47 | 10,366,853,883 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:39 | 8,062,137,142 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:32 | 2,303,709,735 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:30 | 2,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:29 | 1,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:27 | 1,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:26 | 1,000.00 | Completado |
| Mon 25 May 22:23 | 1,000.00 | Completado |

Just ask yourself one question.

How can they claim there was a weekly cycle from the 18th to the 28th, while support and my ledger both confirm that I was paid another **\$719 Weekly** on the 21st, and then support also confirms that I was paid the next Weekly on the 25th, which matches what support said about the 21st-24th cycle?

It's staring you in the face.


Can you explain why the PDF doesn't mention that there were **three** weekly cycles during that period?

Do you want me to send you the ledger showing the payment on the 21st? According to the Housebets PDF, that payment would have been impossible, because the cycle was supposedly from the 18th to the 28th.

| | | |
|------------------|----------------|--|
| Thu 21 May 03:52 | 719,488,261,51 | |
|------------------|----------------|--|

oi oi oi oi how is this possible???? THE WEEKLY ON 21 HOW THE FUCK THIS IS POSSIBLE IN 18-28 CYCLE

CHECK IT




@MC @Cigar @Elder @EinHaDe vLoot.io This goes for you as well.

Admitting you've made a mistake is a sign of wisdom. Having too much ego to acknowledge the overwhelming evidence here would simply be embarrassing.

You don't like me, and I don't care if you ever do. But for the sake of the community, call these people out for what they are.

They're crooks. They're scammers.

 **Dr.W** @MC @Cigar @Elder @EinHaDe vLoot.io This goes for you as well. Admitting you've made a mistake...



MC 06/07/2026 4:54

Like I said, I didn't have much of a look into the case. I am trusting what I was told, by my fellow council members. From what I see you have a lot of lawyers and if there is undeniable evidence, please move forward with that.

I have nothing against you

I've never even heard of housebets


Until you


I have no reason to be biased whatsoever



Dr.W 06/07/2026 4:56


"THE EVIDENCE" THE COUNCIL THAT WE WANT 🤪



 **Dr.W** "THE EVIDENCE" THE COUNCIL THAT WE WANT 🤪

MC 06/07/2026 4:56

?

 **Dr.W** 06/07/2026 4:57

I could make a Hall of Fame of the Council's all-time worst takes. The more you speak, the more ridiculous you make yourselves look.


You admit you didn't really review the case, but then say you trust people who also stepped away because of bias.

Not knowing Housebets doesn't prove the case was reviewed. It only means you personally had no reason to know them.

The Council's job was to check the evidence: the 18-28 "weekly", the Monthly 50/50 UI, the linked/flagged/zeroed accounts and the missing backend records.

If nobody reviewed those records, then please don't say Housebets answered the case.

Just say what actually happened: the merits were never judged.


 **Gamba Tavern** **BOT** 06/07/2026 5:02


@Dr.W Here is the transcript:




[transcript-295.html](#)

380.03 KB

 **Dr.W** You admit you didn't really review the case, but then say you trust people who also stepped away becau...

 **MC** 06/07/2026 5:12

I'm not sure what u want me to say

 **Dr.W** 06/07/2026 5:13

It's pretty obvious. If you're part of the council, then do what the council is supposed to do. What's the point of having a council if it doesn't read the case, doesn't review the evidence, and responds by saying it doesn't even know Housebets? Why did you accept the case in the first place? It's all pretty absurd.

Honestly, at this point, judging by the responses you've given and the way you're reacting to overwhelming evidence, it's becoming so blatant that this whole project no longer makes sense. The council has lost its credibility. And the more you obsess over me, over who Housebets is, over admitting you haven't even read the evidence, instead of focusing on the CASE itself, the clearer that becomes.

I genuinely think either the whole thing should be shut down, or the council should be replaced with people who actually have the time, willingness, resources, and impartiality to do the job. Don't you think?

 **TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 06/07/2026 5:21

so just to clarify, you're saying if it ended on seven days and not 10 you would've been in a net loss?
(edited)

could you elaborate how you would've been in a net loss? (edited)

what is your estimate of the net loss during that period and how much exactly do you think you would've received back on the net loss?



Dr.W 06/07/2026 6:27

I am not exactly saying "if it were 7 days I would be in loss" just like that.

What I am saying is that Housebets' theory of the 18–28 May cycle does not fit with their own data or their own support.

As far as documented, the weekly bonuses were 7-day cycles and were claimed on Thursdays. 21 May was a Thursday, support confirms that this weekly was claimed, and it also matches the \$719 payment and the ledger/screenshot from that same day.

With that alone, the 18–28 May cycle already breaks.

A weekly cycle from 18–28 May cannot exist if inside that supposed cycle I already claimed a normal weekly on 21 May.

Then came the migration to the new Housebets / Housebets 2.0. Because of that migration, support explained that the 25 May weekly covered the period 21–24 May. That also matches my version: the short migration cycle ended there, and the next weekly cycle started on 25 May and ended on 1 June.

In fact, Housebets' own PDF uses 25 May → 1 June as the weekly period for the Weekly Leaderboard, which I also won and was paid on 1 June.

That is why my complaint was never that the leaderboard was not paid or that withdrawals did not arrive. That is mixing topics.

My complaint is that I was not paid the Weekly/Lossback corresponding to the 25 May → 1 June cycle.

And my loss happened inside that new cycle:

25 May 22:23 → 26 May 02:09
91,168.375326 USDT deposited/lost.

After that loss, I did not deposit any more money that could change the result of that weekly. That week should have ended in loss, and my Weekly slider was at 100% Lossback, something Housebets also recognizes in its own PDF.

So the question is not complicated:

Why is Housebets now using 18–28 May to deny the Lossback, if the normal weekly on the 21st was already claimed, support said the migration cycle was 21–24, and their own PDF uses 25 May → 1 June as the weekly leaderboard period?

The answer seems clear: by using 18–28 May, they include previous days to offset my 25–26 loss and turn a negative weekly into a supposed positive result.

That is exactly what they have to prove with official records:

- cycle ID for 18–28 May;
- cycle ID for 25 May → 1 June;
- Weekly/Lossback settlement record;
- formula used;

- GGR/NGR;
- ledger of the payment or zero-settlement.

Without that, the \$0 is not proven.

And the Monthly is another separate point.

Housebets says that the May Monthly was at 0% Rakeback / 100% Lossback, which is convenient for them because since the full month ended positive, they would pay \$0.

But the UI showed Monthly 50/50 during May, with the cycle active and time remaining. That means that, even if the 50% Lossback could be \$0 because the month ended positive, the other 50% Rakeback/Wager still had to be calculated based on the month's volume, and that month had around 4M wager.

That is why they also have to show:

- slider audit trail;
- UI/terms explaining why the visible 50/50 did not apply to May;
- May Monthly Bonus calculation;
- settlement or zero-settlement record.

In summary:

Housebets cannot have a normal weekly on the 21st, a migration cycle 21–24, a weekly leaderboard 25–1, and then use 18–28 to deny the Lossback.


Those versions cannot all be true at the same time.



Dr.W 06/07/2026 6:35

Monthly bonus slider 1 day to finish may xd they said was 100% loses. Where??? All the month like this.

When this is proven and people understand it, I'll move on to the fake leaderboard accounts, how I caught them, and how they deleted them. For now, I don't want to make this any more complicated. It seems like you're starting to reach the right conclusions by asking the right questions.



Dr.W 07/07/2026 4:06

Morning everyone,


Since some of you said you hadn't really reviewed what I sent, and I understand you may be going through it properly for the first time now, I'd like to hear your honest take.

Do you still think this was handled correctly, or do you think mistakes were made?

Cole, I haven't really heard your opinion yet. You told me before that you were very sure about it, so I'm genuinely asking if your view has changed after seeing the evidence.

In the Duel channel, some people are already starting to see what actually happened. I get that it takes time, especially with all the noise around this case, but I'd appreciate a straight answer from you guys.

Maybe if these guys even hate me can be impartial, you can also do it.





@Dr.W Here is the transcript:



transcript-295.html

397.83 KB



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 07/07/2026 6:51

I found house bets document to be sufficient however the only caveat I see (post case and with hindsight) is the question you raised as to whether or not the "10 days instead of a 7 day calculation" has merit or not, why? because it leaves me wondering one thing specifically. Did it only apply to you or to others as well? If it happened to everyone it doesn't make a difference, if it happened to only you then it makes a significant difference in my opinion. If it was everyone, similar to that of say a monthly bonus on stake, it's not always on the same time sometimes they push it out late and it could be a blessing for some or a curse for others depending on where they end up in the profit and loss by the new date (casinos factor loss heavily) and yes they reserve discretion to change it as bonuses are not contractual obligations but rather discretionary promotional bonuses.

I think it is a lot of speculation here as to if you're owed money or not on your part it seems more like a question of compensation for theoretical amounts and litigating terms, or FAQs and support interactions as contractually binding.

If you truly mean that you want to bring house bets to justice for the community, I can understand your angle but, if this is to pursue personal result in terms of monetary gains, I don't think you will prevail.
(edited)



gporchy started a thread. 07/07/2026 9:36

TXPLAYS (Kick.com) I found house bets document to be sufficient however the only caveat I see (post case ...



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 07/07/2026 10:17



Dr.W 07/07/2026 14:13

Thanks, Elder, and thanks, Txplays, for taking the time to review everything. I'm going to read what you've written now, but I just want to say that I can provide anything you need, I have everything downloaded.

And honestly, this sentence couldn't have been said any better. Reading all.



Elder 9:48

Could you kindly share the stats.

I'm not taking a "trust me bro"

I'd like from period a -> B -> C

to finally see on paper the discrepancy

I can't decipher his chatgpt nonsense, too much running in circles and I want to be straight to the point here.



Dr.W 07/07/2026 14:29

Even if Housebets now says "site-wide Beta", that does NOT solve it.

If it was real, show the announcement, config timestamp, cycle IDs and settlement records. Support

announce it in different conversations with different agents!!

The problem is simple:

Housebets claims a Weekly/Lossback cycle of 18–28 May.

But inside that same “cycle”:

- support/ledger show a normal weekly paid on May 21 for \$719;
- support said the migration weekly covered May 21–24;
- the next weekly period started May 25;
- Housebets’ own PDF uses May 25 → Jun 1 for the Weekly Leaderboard.

So how can 18–28 also be the Weekly/Lossback cycle?

That would mean Housebets had a 10-day weekly, plus another weekly paid on May 21, plus a migration weekly on May 25, all inside the same period.

That makes no sense.

My loss happened after that:

May 25 22:23 → May 26 02:09
91,168.375326 USDT deposited/lost

No more deposits after that. No more withdrawals. That weekly was negative.

Using 18–28 is the only way to mix earlier activity with my later loss and turn it into \$0.

And we are still waiting on the leaderboard accounts too.

Housebets told me elmourabut and lucasmartirini were reviewed, “legitimate and eligible”, and I kept playing.

Later they admitted they were linked / flagged / zeroed.

So what exactly did they check before telling me they were eligible?

When were they flagged?

When were they zeroed?


Why were they allowed to pressure the race live?

This is not about opinions. Show the records.




Dr.W 07/07/2026 14:53

pre losing 100k




after losing 100k



Dr.W 07/07/2026 15:05

LEDGER SHOWS FASTWTHDRAWS:





I only expect to pay the rewards you owe me, as you claim your name as the Huse of The Rewards lol




Dr.W 07/07/2026 20:42

More guys having issues a deleted info from housebets



Before 27th all deleted 🤔🤔



MC 08/07/2026 2:33

In terms of the leaderboard Thing. Leaderboard manipulation is one of the hardest things to prove. you can't really ask the casino to leak information about other users it's a very tough one (edited)

It's fucked up but there's no real way to know for 100% certainty

Obviously if a billion dollars was wagered in a day and the biggest deposit on the site is 50k daily that's a sure way to know but it's a tough one.

There's tons of sites that do it and there's really nothing you can do about it



Dr.W pre losing 100k



MC 08/07/2026 2:37

This obviously raises suspicion but without 100% certainty there's not much that can be done

You know what I mean.

Maybe there is a settlement that can be reached based on the leaderboard point alone. because of the confusion that was discussed. But I don't think you can ask for all the money you lost back as you wouldn't be repaying them if you won.



MC 08/07/2026 2:48

I agree with you that there is some discrepancy here and both sides of the story so I don't want to say that housebets is innocent because it seems like they might owe you some lossback. But you should lower your expectations as this is not going to resolve in you getting a refund on all of your losses unless you can find concrete 100% irrefutable evidence that they manipulated their leaderboard. And if they did manipulate it then they probably aren't good people and wouldn't give you it back either way.



MC In terms of the leaderboard Thing. Leaderboard manipulation is one of the hardest things to prove. you c...



Dr.W 08/07/2026 3:53

Tienes razón, es difícil, pero no imposible. De todos modos, hay hechos bastante claros que para mi ya son suficientes.

Yo les avisé de que esas cuentas no eran normales, que parecían fake y que me estaban metiendo una presión enorme. Support me respondió que lo habían comprobado y que estuviera tranquilo.

Como no me quedé tranquilo, volví a insistir, porque esas cuentas se habían creado literalmente ese mismo día. Además estaban jugando en categorías raras, escondidas, y de eso tengo bastantes pruebas que ni siquiera he sacado todavía para no volver loco a todo el mundo. Encima no retiraban nunca, era todo bastante extraño.

Luego hay otro hecho que también está demostrado. Desde el correo oficial de Housebets me volvieron a decir que estuviera tranquilo, que podía seguir jugando porque habían investigado las cuentas y eran totalmente legítimas.

Yo confié en ellos y seguí jugando. La presión era enorme porque estaban a punto de quitarme el primer puesto y los que somos degens ya sabemos cómo funciona eso, no nos gusta perder el #1. Jugué más, jugué más agresivo y acabé perdiendo 91.000\$ en menos de una hora.

Después esas cuentas desaparecieron y terminaron admitiendo, sin dar muchas explicaciones, que no eran legítimas.


Así que, fueran cuentas tuyas o no, para mí eso ya es secundario. Ellos las investigaron dos veces y dos veces me aseguraron que todo estaba bien. Yo actué en base a esa información. Por tanto, todo lo que pasó a partir de ahí es responsabilidad suya.

¿De verdad no deberían responder por esas pérdidas?

Ya ni siquiera hablo de demostrar que las cuentas fueran de Housebets. Hablo de que me mintieron y me dieron una seguridad que no existía. Me dijeron dos veces que siguiera jugando porque todo estaba correcto.

Eso es gravísimo. Sean cuentas tuyas o de terceros, no protegieron al jugador y, peor aún, después de investigar me aseguraron que todo era legítimo. Esa responsabilidad es del casino, ¿o me equivoco?

PD: Lo escribo en español para que luego no digáis que está hecho con IA.

 **MC** I agree with you that there is some discrepancy here and both sides of the story so I don't want to say tha...



Dr.W 08/07/2026 3:57

Con que me paguen lo que me corresponde me es suficiente. Yo he dicho mi opinión moral sobre lo que debería ser, en un caso en el que se ha probado todo lo que he probado yo en una leaderboard, que es recuperar unas pérdidas que han sido influenciadas por fantasmas.

Pero lo que no admito y voy a pedir hasta el último centavo es lo que es mío, que es el weekly de 91k de pérdidas con slider 100% y el del monthly wageado al 50%. Eso es mío, es así, no hay otra historia solo hay una verdad. Y la he demostrado.

Entonces Porchy me debe pagar los dos bonos, y la compensación que él crea conveniente por el tema de la leaderboard

a mí me da igual bajar expectativas solo quiero que se haga justicia y se sea justo. La gente cree que estoy por dinero, pero si fueran 100€ pelearía igual y si fueran 10M lo mismo. Soy una persona justa, y

si me dan mis bonos y cree que la compensacion debe ser la mitad de 91k la cogere y no me quejare. Porque sentire que al menos se ha intentado ser justo conmigo.

Lo que no voy a parar ni voy a permitir es que, se aprovechen de mi con mentiras, cuando confie en ellos jugando dinero 100%real, con sus reglas, y supuestamente protegido por ellos para evitar cosas como lo de la leaderboard.

Si ellos son o se hacen llamar la Casa de Los Rewards y tienen una serie de rewards y bonuses que dan como marketing para hacer clientes

no vale usarlo como marketing, traer al lead, y una vez juega si pierde, no darle los weekly porque ha ganado al mes, debe respetar que yo elegi los weekly 100% y igual que los que estuve en ganancias NO COBRE NADA (que nadie habla de eso) y no los he pedido, pues la semana que perdi, se me tiene que pagar.

Y lo mismo con el monthly podia haber elegido 100% wager y ganar mas pero no lo hice puse 50/50


por lo tanto el 50 see de todo el mes tambien se me tiene que pagar


me explico? creo que todos habeis visto la montaña de pruebas, que son imposibles de falsificar, lo absurdo que es lo que dice porchy del ciclo de 18 al 28, que incluso va en contra de su support y el ledger, ya que no encaja nada. Solo para evitar que este esa semana en perdidas y tener que pagarme, eso es lamentable.

Solo espero que sea lo suficientemente valiente para decir, tiense razon, fallo esto o fallo lo otro o nos equivocamos me da igual


esto es lo que te corresponder

siento haberte hecho pasar por esto y entiendo tu cabreo porque ha sido muy injusto.


 **Dr.W** Tienes razón, es difícil, pero no imposible. De todos modos, hay hechos bastante claros que para mi ya s...

 **MC** 08/07/2026 4:03


Huh

 **Dr.W** 08/07/2026 4:03




Creo que no estoy pidiendo nada raro, nada que no me corresponda... Y eso que hay muchas cosas, repito hay muchas cosas que no he sacado porque ya habia problemas de entendimiento y no queria liar mas. Y que si esto no se resuelve sacare y va a dejar mucho peor a la marca housebets, asi que lo mejor que pueden haer es solucionarlo

 **MC** 08/07/2026 4:03


No hablo espanol


 **Dr.W** 08/07/2026 4:04


So some of you told me to write spanish so dont use AI and now you said that you dont speak spanish xd bro decide..

 **Sourblock**   2:23
He wanted you to write in Spanish so you wouldn't use AI not because he wanted a translation

Do you want to translate in a pdf?

I can do it for you  I have not problem

 **Dr.W** So some of you told me to write spanish so dont use AI

 **MC** 08/07/2026 4:07

Porchy said that

Could you please translate it I'm not at a computer

Ty

That's Spanish



Dr.W 08/07/2026 4:08

send you now



Housebets_statement_EN_1.pdf

26.64 KB

there you have send other shit

lmk your thoughts

ive been so sincere



MC 08/07/2026 4:12

I don't think u can expect all of your losses back that's not really plausible again considering you could've won. If they told you that the accounts were legit and later removed them after the lb that definitely could be a reason to compensate you but I think we can both agree the full amount is a little ridiculous. It could've very much been an error and while obviously I get that you are saying it doesn't look like one I don't think you should expect to get much if anything from that

Regarding the slider thing. I kind of understand how it works just from looking it over and I have seen nothing from housebets that contradicts your claims tbh. That is the part that definitely needs explanation by them.

That part I agree with you on

I think you've gotta be a bit more realistic about the leaderboard part



Dr.W 08/07/2026 4:25

Okay, I think this is where our positions start to become similar, not identical, because I understand what you're saying and I respect it.

But from my point of view, as a player who genuinely feels he was misled (because I don't believe this was just a mistake, considering they reassured me twice that everything was fine, and the games those accounts were playing were actually hidden, I'll send you screenshots if you want), I believe there was bad faith involved. That's why I think the fair outcome would be to compensate me for the losses that happened because of their actions and the pressure they allowed to happen. They had two opportunities to investigate it, and both times they confirmed everything was fine. That's the minimum responsibility they should have in a situation like this.

At the same time, I also understand that there is a hypothetical world where this was genuinely their mistake. They even said they were going to compensate me for it, but they still haven't. I'd be willing to accept that compensation, as long as it's not just an insult and it's paid together with the two bonuses they still owe me.

Regarding the weekly and monthly bonuses, I'm genuinely glad you agree with me. I think if Porchy actually answers the questions I've sent him, he's going to corner himself. The evidence, no matter how anyone tries to spin it, is overwhelming.

We're not talking about some PDF they produced afterwards. I'm talking about conversations with Support that I downloaded in full from their own website. In other words, it's the casino itself that gave one version of the facts and is now trying to deny it. We have clear UI evidence and the ledger.

Those things can't be faked. The fact that I received the weekly bonus on the 21st for \$719 cannot be hidden, and Support themselves confirmed it.

And if that single fact is true, then the made-up cycle from the 18th to the 28th immediately falls apart, because if there was already a weekly payment on the 21st, then there simply cannot have been another weekly cycle running from the 18th to the 28th. That's why I'm genuinely happy that you've actually taken the time to read everything, understand it, and reach the same conclusion as me on this point.

We've clashed a few times, and if my tone hasn't always been the best, I apologise. But what has happened to me, with people not reading the evidence, closing the case without understanding it, and dismissing it, has been incredibly frustrating. It's especially painful when you know you're right.


So, as the first member of the council who's actually willing to engage with the evidence, I genuinely appreciate it.


I'm still completely open to providing whatever else is needed, or even giving access to my account if that's necessary for any further review.

I just want this to be over. I want people to acknowledge that what I've been saying is true, I want to be paid what I'm owed, and I want to move on from this.

I have no interest in escalating this to levels nobody expects. But if I'm forced to, I will, because I refuse to let people treat me like an idiot. I hope you can understand that.

ty @MC

 **Dr.W** Okay, I think this is where our positions start to become similar, not identical, because I understand wh...

 **MC** 08/07/2026 4:57


[Redacted]

 1

[Redacted]

I would focus more on the weekly. If I'm being completely unbiased there, I have not seen anything from housebots that contradicts your claim as of now

 [Redacted]

 **Dr.W** 08/07/2026 5:04

Damn, that sucks. Honestly, casinos really aren't doing things properly...

But in this case it's even more serious, because it wasn't something they promised specifically to me

or some personalized deal. These are the platform's standard rewards, the bonuses that every user is supposed to have.

To give you an example so it's easier to understand: it's not like I had some special privilege. It's like if I joined Empire's affiliate program with the exact same commission percentage as everyone else, and then, once I met the requirements, they simply refused to pay me.

Just to be clear, these are the site's official terms for every player and they're a core part how they market the platform. It's not a verbal agreement or some private written deal. When you sign up, there's literally a slider in your account that you set yourself.

I think that makes it a lot more serious. That said, I completely understand your situation. If I can help or make some noise so people know what happened, send me the evidence and I'll do it.


A lot of people seem to think I make a living "scamming casinos," but it's actually the complete opposite. I've never received a lawsuit from any casino, while I've sent several myself. The difference is that I gamble with real money, unlike many others, and when you play with real money, things like this happen—as you already know.


The only difference is that I refuse to stay quiet. And if one casino scams you, then another, then another, people start treating you as if you're the common denominator without ever looking at the evidence. But I guarantee you that if people spent just ten minutes reviewing the evidence behind any of the cases I've made public, they'd be genuinely surprised.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

We'll see what attitude Porchy comes back with tomorrow—whether he's interested in resolving this or if he's looking for a fight.

 **Dr.W** Damn, that sucks. Honestly, casinos really aren't doing things properly.. But in this case it's even more s...

 **MC** 08/07/2026 5:07

This was a standard reward that was offered to everyone

Exported 453 message(s)

Timezone: UTC+1