



# Gamba Tavern

## In Progress / drw / I found house bets document to be



**TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 6:51

I found house bets document to be sufficient however the only caveat I see (post case and with hindsight) is the question you raised as to whether or not the "10 days instead of a 7 day calculation" has merit or not, why? because it leaves me wondering one thing specifically. Did it only apply to you or to others as well? If it happened to everyone it doesn't make a difference, if it happened to only you then it makes a significant difference in my opinion. If it was everyone, similar to that of say a monthly bonus on stake, it's not always on the same time sometimes they push it out late and it could be a blessing for some or a curse for others depending on where they end up in the profit and loss by the new date (casinos factor loss heavily) and yes they reserve discretion to change it as bonuses are not contractual obligations but rather discretionary promotional bonuses.

I think it is a lot of speculation here as to if you're owed money or not on your part it seems more like a question of compensation for theoretical amounts and litigating terms, or FAQs and support interactions as contractually binding.

If you truly mean that you want to bring house bets to justice for the community, I can understand your angle but, if this is to pursue personal result in terms of monetary gains, I don't think you will prevail.  
(edited)




**gporchy** 07/07/2026 9:36

Hey mate - it happened to everyone we were in Beta. As shown in the report it made no difference to his rewards.

I personally bonused DrW. The bonus amount to his gameplay is insanely high. Anyone can see that.

The whole thing is crazy. He knows we've been in Beta, and he also knows how hard it is to try and build these platforms from scratch!

Why has not a single other person come out to also agree with you? I'm just still scratching my head too since you're up and the joke is you're up because of our bonuses not gameplay!

 **gporchy** Hey mate - it happened to everyone we were in Beta. As shown in the report it made no difference t...



**Elder** 07/07/2026 9:44

Could you share wager stats/ bonuses amount and his lifetime PNL?

My only concern here is if the Lossback or w.e bonus was supposed to be every 7 days and randomly he fell into 10 days due to an error. Is he not entitled to lossback from those 7 days  
perfect example for me here:

I have a 20% Lossback Weekly With a casino. I lose 100k on the 7 day interval I get 20k the following week I win 500k, I get nothing but the very next Monday I would be entitled to lossback again since it's not carrying it resets.



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 9:47

It wasn't a personal agreement with him it was site wide. Regardless, he was up in both time periods as shown in the report.



**Elder** 07/07/2026 9:48

Could you kindly share the stats.

I'm not taking a "trust me bro"

I'd like from period a -> B -> C

to finally see on paper the discrepancy

I can't decipher his chatgpt nonsense, too much running in circles and I want to be straight to the point here.



**TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 9:50

This is why i was very cautious about about the 7-10 variable, this what my exact concern, he was basically saying housebets doesn't have discretion. I believe all sites do as long as it applies to all players, because in the case it was only him then indeed it would be predatory. I found my assessment to be accurate.



**Elder** 07/07/2026 9:50

I'd also like to know the sitewide announcement

was it "weekly"

if so 7 days is a week

not a 10day interval



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:09

It's on the pdf point 2

**gporchy** It's on the pdf point 2



**Elder** 07/07/2026 10:12

what was his pnl from May 14th -18th?

excuse me 14th to the 21st



**TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 10:13

\*



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:14

I can look in the office

#### 2 - Weekly / Lossback calculation

Lossback refunds part of a *net loss* over a period; it pays only if the period ends down. His weekly slider was **100% lossback / 0% rakeback** from 23 Apr (Appendix C).

For the week containing his 25 May session, the **certified daily record** (Appendix B) shows he finished **net up**:

Weekly window	His net result
18-28 May (the cycle applied)	LIP +\$48,990
21-27 May (Thu-Thu alternative)	LIP +\$28,657

With no net loss, weekly lossback correctly settled to -\$0 for that cycle. In earlier weeks where he was net down, weekly lossback was paid — part of his \$51,431 in total rewards (Appendix D). The engine's weekly settlement for the period independently reconciles to the cent. **\$0 is correct — he won that week**

#### 3 - May monthly bonus calculation

You can see that report every single day his pnl too



**Elder** 07/07/2026 10:14

yea i'm looking at it now



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:14

Turned over 3.8M - 50k of rewards



**Elder** 07/07/2026 10:15


what is his discrepancy

that he is having because my brain melts reading all his ai jargon



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:15

I don't really know either. His main one at the time was that I had rigged the house games. Was not about any of this stuff.



**TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 10:17

I think i summarized this well



**Elder** 07/07/2026 10:17

3.8m wager you're up 12k on him

but 51k in bonuses?

on roughly 690k deposits

2,722 total bets 3,834,098 wager

what house game was played?



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:18

He is up

Ag yeh sorry



**Elder** 07/07/2026 10:19

TOTAL	2,722	3,834,098	12,028	51,416	690,354
-------	-------	-----------	--------	--------	---------



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:19

He mostly played blackjack, dice a bit of roulette



**Elder** 07/07/2026 10:19

what was his dice bet

1.01?

or something like 1.1x?




**gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:20


Nah 50/50 I think





**Elder** 07/07/2026 10:20


Kk.


 **gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:20  
Just he bet v high relative to his balance



 **Elder** 07/07/2026 10:20  
I mean you're up 12k but he was overbonused.


 **gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:20  
And in addition - he accused me of blackjack rigging but he won on blackjack


 **Elder** 07/07/2026 10:20  
in my eyes


 **gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:20  
I'm not up


 **Elder** 07/07/2026 10:20  
the little thing under the amounts say 12,028


 **Elder** the little thing under the amounts say 12,028  
 **TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 10:21  
Is it subtracting bonuses?


 **Elder** 07/07/2026 10:21  
I'm unsure how this is labeled


 **gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:21  
Nope  
So he's like 50k less 12k loss or something I believe

 **TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 10:22  
so its just raw values without accounting the bonuses vs loss

 **gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:22  
He won't dispute this either 😂

 **TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 10:23  
Again, low iq TX made a very accurate assessment

 **gporchy** 07/07/2026 10:23  
[REDACTED]

 **Elder** 07/07/2026 10:25

There are two different figures in the document, and they do not agree with each other.

From the transaction totals in the appendices:

- Total Deposits: \$690,354 (Appendix B total)
- Total Withdrawals: \$729,757.44 (Appendix A total)

Based on those totals:

$\$729,757.44 - \$690,354.00 = +\$39,403.44$

So, according to the appendix totals, DrWgamba is up \$39,403.44 on a deposits-versus-withdrawals basis.

However, there is an inconsistency

Earlier in the report, the summary states:

"Across all activity his withdrawals exceeded his deposits by about \$28,334."

That statement does not reconcile with the appendix totals.

So the document contains an internal discrepancy:

Source	Deposits	Withdrawals	Net
Appendix totals	\$690,354.00	\$729,757.44	+\$39,403.44
Narrative summary	—	—	About +\$28,334

Based strictly on the detailed deposit and withdrawal figures provided in the document, the net is +\$39,403.44, not +\$28,334.

i used chatgpt to help math  
why is their a discrepancy  
in the two numbers



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 07/07/2026 10:26



gporchy 07/07/2026 10:28

Checking

Elder [Click to see attachment](#)



TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 07/07/2026 10:29

id assume the \$12,000 figure is subtracting from that



Elder 07/07/2026 10:34

Still doesn't equal each other



gporchy 07/07/2026 11:03

The ledger report shows each currency in its own block, in native coin units, but forecasts everything with a \$ sign. His TRX block reads "Deposits (3): \$16,338.06" — that's 16,338 TRX coins, worth only ~\$5,280, but it looks like \$16,338 of dollars.

- Whoever wrote the report's narrative added the USDT and TRX blocks together at face value → deposits looked like \$701,423 → "withdrawals exceeded deposits by about \$28,334." We know this is exactly what happened because the mistake reproduces the published figure to the cent (\$28,334.11).

- The appendix, meanwhile, was built from the daily activity record, which properly converts TRX to dollars → deposits \$690,354 → net = +\$39,403.

Same underlying data, two different conversion treatments of three deposits made on one day (25 March) — an \$11,138 overvaluation of the TRX, which is precisely the gap between the two figures. The audited truth sides with the appendix: net +\$39,471.76.



gporchy 07/07/2026 11:22

Initial report just mixed up TRX deposit inflated it.



Dr.W 07/07/2026 15:14

This is exactly the point.

“Site-wide Beta” does not prove anything by itself.

If the 18–28 May weekly cycle was real, show:

1. the site-wide announcement;
2. the config timestamp;
3. the cycle ID;
4. the settlement record;

5. the ledger entry.

Because right now we have:

- a weekly paid on May 21;
- support saying the migration weekly covered May 21–24;
- a support accepted 25 claimed bonus of 21–24 weekly
- Housebets' own PDF using May 25 → Jun 1 for Weekly Leaderboard;
- and then Housebets using May 18–28 only for Weekly/Lossback.

That is the contradiction.

Lifetime PnL, total bonuses, or “he was up overall” do not answer a cycle-based lossback. This is not the game, the house dont win always, thats why you do marketing with your rewards, so respect them, not when they are good for you.

Elder's example is correct: lossback resets by period.

Also, Porchy now admits the initial report mixed up TRX and inflated numbers. That proves exactly why I asked for backend records instead of trusting a PDF summary.

So let's keep it simple:

Show the real cycle records.

If 18–28 May existed before my loss, the records prove it.

If they cannot show them, then the \$0 weekly conclusion is not proven.



**TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 07/07/2026 17:36

What site has ever given you this data? Give me the name ill verify.



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 18:13

Let's make this impossible to dodge.

Porchy, answer this directly:

Did my ledger show a Weekly bonus paid on May 21 at 01:52:27 for \$719.48826151? (IMAGE 2 RED)

Yes or no.

Did support also confirm that I claimed another Weekly on May 25 around 01:10, covering May 21–24? (IMAGE 1 GREEN)

Yes or no.

I have the ledger proof. I can post it.

Because if both Weeklies were paid/claimed exactly like support said, your 18–28 May “weekly cycle”

collapses.

You cannot have:

- a Weekly paid on May 21;
- another Weekly claimed on May 25 for May 21–24;
- and then claim the real Weekly/Lossback cycle was May 18–28.

That is impossible.

So either support + ledger are wrong, or your PDF is wrong.

And if support + ledger are correct, then the next Weekly started May 25. That is exactly when I deposited and lost 91,168.375326 USDT.

No more deposits after. No more withdrawals after. That Weekly was loss.

So stop talking about lifetime PnL, total bonuses, or “he was up overall”.

Weekly means weekly. The rules don't only apply when I lose money for Housebets. They also apply when I win or when Housebets has to pay.

That is literally the point of Weekly rewards, not Monthly rewards.

If I lost in that week, with 100% Lossback active, pay what you advertised or show the record proving why not.

So again:

Did I receive the May 21 Weekly for \$719.48826151?

Did I claim the May 25 Weekly for May 21–24?

If yes, explain how the 18–28 May cycle can exist.

If no, say the ledger and support are fake/wrong. (edited)

Regarding last week's weekly bonus can see you claimed it on the 25th at 1:10am BST.

I don't claim/receive nothing. Where is the bonus? I just receive leaderboard prizes 1k and 5k

And last week I also don't receive my weekly loses. Bonus

You can check it and see the last tickets that are not answered yet.

Checking on this for you now, bare with me a few minutes please

Ofc no problem I will wait. Thank you.

Our tech team are still actively working on issues regarding the monthly bonuses, hoping to have it claimable by end of day for you. Also have them looking back at last week's bonus for you.

Understand it's frustrating and appreciate

Escribe un mensaje...



Spencer Activo

dates in April when the weekly bonuses were paid? I mean the exact day and time for each payment in April.

Could you also provide the 4 exact dates and times for May?

Thank you very much.

Sure, will grab those for you now.

This one was easy one xd thank you

You claimed one weekly bonus in April on the 20th at 00:45:59 for \$717.37089061

and one in May on the 21st at 01:52:27 for \$719.48826151

Spencer • 2 min



I mean the weeklys are every 7 day. I want to know in april and may which days exactly were able to claim

Even If i don't play and claim which days were on plataform available to claim?

Escribe un mensaje...



I can send videos of support, i can send downloaded logs and transcripts, but until now, he dont even have the balls to say this is not true, so EXPLAIN IT @gporchy

How is this posible?



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 18:18

My PDF reports and trx clearly don't affect your withdrawals and deposits. Permission to share every transaction [REDACTED]

Just tell me in one sentence

Where the \$100k you are demanding was



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 18:19

Boom explain this weekly

Thu 21 May 03:52

719,48826151

Come on. explain how ledger show this weekly on 21! Exactly on thursday and exactly fixing with support, and exactly fit with the migration and the new 21-24 cycle

explain how is possible your artificial manufactured 18-28 cycle waiting.



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 18:22

You just change your mind

The **100k** USDT is not a random number. It is a settlement proposal based mainly on the damage I believe was caused by the leaderboard situation and the delayed withdrawal/payment issue. The Tequity/PF/RTP/ configuration matters are separate and still need proper answers and logs.

I came privately because I wanted this handled properly and fairly, not because I wanted to damage Housebets.

If you disagree with my position, then send the leaderboard snapshots, withdrawal records, logs, calculations and a fair counterproposal.


What the reason is



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 18:22

ANSWER MY QUESTION

dont mix



**@gporchy** you lied on 18-28 cycle?


or the ledger lies?

Thu 21 May 03:52

719,48826151

thu 21 may 03:52 719,48826151\$ claimed on weekly bonus true or false?

is this SUPPORT INFO AND SCREEN real?



true or false?

@gporchy TRUE OR FALSE? I CAN SEND PIC OF THE LEDGER

@gporchy dont dissapear now you were online YES OR NO? TRUE OR FALSE?

Original message was deleted or could not be loaded.



Elder 07/07/2026 18:30

Your asking for a 100k for what though?

Thats what we dont understand



Dr.W 07/07/2026 18:30

Im gonna explain you exactly why



Elder 07/07/2026 18:30

Emotional damage?



Dr.W 07/07/2026 18:31

Can we get back to the actual point and have him explain how he blatantly lied about the cycle, instead of trying to mix unrelated things together just to change the subject?

Dr.W Can we get back to the actual point and have him explain how he blatantly lied about the cycle, instead ...



Elder 07/07/2026 18:32

All relevant

Why are you requesting 100k

Stop picking little bits and pieces and tell me why your requesting 100k




Dr.W 07/07/2026 18:33

The \$100k is the \$100k I lost because of the leaderboard scam. It was proven that I was pressured into wagering that money chasing a fake leaderboard. They later admitted it and deleted the accounts, but by then I had already lost the \$100k. And to make it even worse, they still refuse to compensate those losses.

That's why I asked for the \$100k back. I was scammed and misled into playing under false pressure against house-controlled accounts that were wagering hundreds of thousands every second through mapped categories and fake games.

I explained all of this already, but since none of you actually read it, I'm going through it point by point so that too much information doesn't confuse everyone again. Do you understand?

 Elder Stop picking little bits and pieces and tell me why your requesting 100k




Dr.W 07/07/2026 18:35

I don't see you asking Porchy the same question after the evidence I just posted. Are we back to square one again? Have there been any new changes in management?

Don't worry, I have evidence and an answer for everything.

the fake accounts



RANK	USER	WAGERED	PRIZE
1	DrWgamba	\$1,096,07...	T \$1,00...
2 <sup>nd</sup>	elmourabut	\$831,250....	T \$300....
3 <sup>rd</sup>	lucasmartirini	\$694,861....	T \$150....
4 <sup>th</sup>	Freeman_1	\$40,681.10	T \$80.00
5 <sup>th</sup>	DaveSkeeZ	\$34,264.50	T \$40.00
6 <sup>th</sup>	Khalid706	\$30,262.36	T \$36.00
7 <sup>th</sup>	kadocuk31	\$23,619.05	T \$34.00
8 <sup>th</sup>	DongMeister	\$7,305.24	T \$32.00
9 <sup>th</sup>	domani	\$7,000.54	T \$30.00
10 <sup>th</sup>	leonaverse08	\$5,443.43	T \$28.00

Househats

English


### All Players

RANK	USER	WAGERED	PRIZE
1	DrWgamba	\$3,334,61...	\$5,00...
2 <sup>nd</sup>	elmourabut	\$1,494,85...	\$1,50...
3 <sup>rd</sup>	lucasmartirini	\$1,204,34...	\$750....
4 <sup>th</sup>	Khalid706	\$1,082,67...	\$400....
5 <sup>th</sup>	hatecore	\$541,995....	\$200....
6 <sup>th</sup>	dimkadinka	\$269,609....	\$180....
7 <sup>th</sup>	Santoceo	\$147,989....	\$170....
8 <sup>th</sup>	jtn96	\$108,561....	\$160....
9 <sup>th</sup>	tibcabs	\$56,521.96	\$150....
10 <sup>th</sup>	SkyeBlu	\$48,539.41	\$140....

Navigation: < 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 >

Bottom Bar: Menu Sports Casino Chat Search

the answer that the were legitimate and how i lose after



and after this the accounts dissappear  
 they say they were exploiting xd  
 they delete them and i won the leaderboard fafter losing 100k  
 is that good for you?  
 enough info?

**Información del usuario** ✕

**lucasmartirini** Propina Ignore  
Se unió el 20 may 2026

214.370 / 250.000 XP

Platinum 1 Platinum 2

Todo el tiempo **Mensual** Semanal Diario

Ganancias/Pérdidas -17,351.456720...	Depósitos 35,723.990065...	<b>Retiros 0.00000000</b>
Total apostado 760,408.96231...	Bonos totales 2,555.47601792	Llaves obtenidas 13,656.416

**Desglose de apuestas**

Tragamonedas	431,141.31647226
Card	329,267.64584358

**Información del usuario** ✕

**elmourabut** Propina Un-ignore  
Se unió el 19 may 2026

91.369 / 500.000 XP

Platinum 5 Pearl 1

Todo el tiempo **Mensual** Semanal Diario

Ganancias/Pérdidas -49,918.72498...	Depósitos 79,000.20500...	<b>Retiros 0.00000000</b>
Total apostado 1,258,486.9997...	Bonos totales 7,092.19872414	Llaves obtenidas 23,203.224

**Desglose de apuestas**

Tragamonedas	872,691.01701657
Card	385,795.98277441

more evidence?

telegram with porchy after deleting the accounts

The leaderboard had some abusers on it, so to help other players they were removed. Never affected you.

Withdrawals are now near instant with the new platform.

Your other questions will be answered when we have time but tbh we are so busy, and I'm confident you've got all the info you need with the data handed over. 18:09

**PH** Glad to see your up overall, congrats on the win. 18:09

So they put fake accounts on the leaderboard so they wouldn't have to pay it out and could win it themselves. Those accounts pressured the player in first place, which was me. I ended up losing \$100k because I played more under that pressure to try to win the leaderboard. On top of that, those accounts were wagering through categories that were later changed because they had been hidden.

Do you think that's enough reason to ask a casino to refund what you lost after doing something like that, or not?



Elder 07/07/2026 18:40

How did you lose 100k if you're up 30k

Explain



Dr.W 07/07/2026 18:40

BRO WITH ALL RESPECT XD

the last depo i did in housebets

LAST

Fecha	Monto	Estado
Tue 26 May 02:09	2,879.148969	Completado
Tue 26 May 02:05	5,757.807339	Completado
Tue 26 May 02:03	5,757.162379	Completado
Tue 26 May 01:26	5,759.916381	Completado
Tue 26 May 00:14	5,759.552032	Completado
Tue 26 May 00:11	10,941.8171	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:58	10,332.706345	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:52	11,488.229818	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:50	5,759.334203	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:47	10,366.853883	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:39	8,062.137142	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:32	2,303.709735	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:30	2,000.00	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:29	1,000.00	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:27	1,000.00	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:26	1,000.00	Completado
Mon 25 May 22:23	1,000.00	Completado

I LOST THIS 91K

WHILE THE LEADERBOARD WAS FAKED

and i lost all this

no more withdrawh in ledger

u can ask porchy

the only withdraw i did was on 1 june cause of course after catch them cheating on the leaderboard

the delete that 2 accounts

and i will 1k for weekly leaderboard (curious how this was 25-1 cycle xd) not 18-28

and the 5k for the monthly leaderboard

so how i lose? check ledger

i depo and never withdrawth that 91k

i lose playing against ghost

against ghost accounts

AND THIS IS EXTREMELY SERIOUS. A casino operating fake accounts to pressure real players into gambling more, or, if they don't get caught, to avoid paying out the top leaderboard prizes, is incredibly serious.

SO..... NOW...

So again:  
 Did I receive the May 21 Weekly for \$719.48826151?  
 Did I claim the May 25 Weekly for May 21-24?  
 If yes, explain how the 18-28 May cycle can exist.  
 If no, say the ledger and support are fake/wrong.

I can send videos of support, I can send downloaded logs and transcription, but until now, he dont even have the balls to say this is not true, so EXPLAIN IT @gporchy How is this possible?

gporchy 18:24  
 My PDF reports and trx clearly dont affect your withdrawals and deposits. Permission to share every transaction so you can't make up another new lie lol  
 Just tell me in one sentence  
 Where the \$100k you are demanding was

Dr. W 18:28  
 Boom explain this weekly

Thu 21 May 03:52 719.48826151

Come on, explain how ledger show this weekly on 21 Exactly on Thursday and excistly fixing with support, and exactly fit wht the migration and the new 21-24 cycle explain how is possible your artificial manufactured 18-28 cycle waiting.

Dr. W 18:22  
 ANSWER MY QUESTION  
 dont mix

gporchy 18:24  
 My PDF reports and trx clearly dont affect your withdrawals and deposits. Permission to share every transaction so you can't make up another new lie lol  
 Just tell me in one sentence  
 Where the \$100k you are demanding was

Dr. W 18:28  
 Boom explain this weekly

Thu 21 May 03:52 719.48826151

Come on, explain how ledger show this weekly on 21 Exactly on Thursday and excistly fixing with support, and exactly fit wht the migration and the new 21-24 cycle explain how is possible your artificial manufactured 18-28 cycle waiting.

@gporchy you lied on 18-28 cycle?  
 or the ledger lies?

Thu 21 May 03:52 719.48826151

thu 21 may 03:52 719,48826151\$ claimed on weekly bonus  
 true or false?  
 is this SUPPORT INFO AND SCREEN real?

gporchy 18:24  
 My PDF reports and trx clearly dont affect your withdrawals and deposits. Permission to share every transaction so you can't make up another new lie lol  
 Just tell me in one sentence  
 Where the \$100k you are demanding was

Dr. W 18:28  
 Boom explain this weekly

Thu 21 May 03:52 719.48826151

Come on, explain how ledger show this weekly on 21 Exactly on Thursday and excistly fixing with support, and exactly fit wht the migration and the new 21-24 cycle explain how is possible your artificial manufactured 18-28 cycle waiting.

@gporchy TRUE OR FALSE? I CAN SEND PIC OF THE LEDGER  
 @Elder could yo do this channel public please? so every one see all the case transparently sneaky movement from porchy to create a thread

Dr. W 18:30  
 @gporchy dont dissappear now you were online YES OR NO? TRUE OR FALSE?

AGAIN @gporchy TRUE OR FALSE?

Do I clame that two weekly or not?  
 21 and 25 with thhat exact timestamp and exact amount




Dr.W 07/07/2026 19:01

I see POrchy was online. He didn't want to answer whether it's TRUE or FALSE, so he throws out something else to distract everyone. The council immediately jumps on that instead of pressing him to answer, while I keep replying with evidence. A [REDACTED]


ANSWER YES OR NO.

Thu 21 May, 03:52 — \$719.48826151 claimed as a weekly bonus. YES OR NO?



Same with 25th weekly bonus

YES OR NO? SUPPORT SAID YES, HOW IS THIS POSSIBLE WITH YOUR FABRICATEED 18-28 WEEKLY?





TXPLAYS (Kick.com) 07/07/2026 19:06

i summarized this well



**Dr.W** the fake accounts



**Sourblock** 07/07/2026 19:15

If this is true and you were never paid out these leaderboard prizes, what entitles you to \$100k? If anything at most you'd be compensated the prizes you won



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 19:16

READ AGAIN.

**Dr.W** AND THIS IS EXTREMELY SERIOUS. A casino operating fake accounts to pressure real players into gam...



**Sourblock** 07/07/2026 19:16

If anything porchy removing abused/invalid accounts from the leaderboard would only benefit you (a real player), by preferring your prize over an improperly earned one

**Dr.W** Click to see attachment



**Sourblock** 07/07/2026 19:18

But you're right these stats are incredibly weird and indicate a fake house account



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 19:22

A casino allegedly tries to use fake accounts to avoid paying leaderboard prizes while creating pressure that makes real players wager more and lose more.

If the player doesn't realize what's happening, they don't win the leaderboard, they don't receive the prizes, and they end up losing even more because of the pressure of fighting for first place. That's exactly what happened in my case.

First of all, we should be condemning the casino for that. Somehow, everyone seems to be glossing over it.

Second, if the player catches them, sends an email, and the casino officially replies that everything is fine, that the accounts are legitimate, and tells the player to keep playing because there's nothing wrong, then the player loses \$100,000. Later it turns out the accounts were not legitimate after all, and they get deleted. Whose fault is that? The player's?

Or should the casino be held responsible for officially telling me those accounts were legitimate after supposedly checking them multiple times, causing me to keep playing?

And the best part? After the casino does all of that, I'm apparently supposed to thank them for eventually deleting the fake accounts that cost me money and then giving me the leaderboard.

Let me remind you: without those fake accounts, the leaderboard was already mine. The only difference is that I wouldn't have lost that \$100,000.



Now read what you wrote again.

And if you're going to try to argue against this, even though you know you have no valid argument and you're only doing it because of your ego, I'll be waiting.

Sorry for the tone, I don't mean to come across the wrong way, but this is honestly insane. The fact that the player who caught the casino cheating is the one being criticized is just unbelievable. It genuinely makes my blood boil.

And Porchy still hasn't answered my questions.

imagine you complain and told you this and after loses they tell you this, after losing all ofc and caught them red handed. crazy.



Dr.W 07/07/2026 19:34

@gporchy answeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeer



Sourblock 07/07/2026 19:59

You make a fair point. The hardest part here is there's no way to directly associate the money you deposited/wagered with changing the leaderboard prize. At the end of the day it was still legitimate and regular play, and let's say you had won with the money you would've been paid out.

It is definitely unfair pressure from the casino though, depending entirely if the accounts were orchestrated on behalf of Housebets or actual abusers. I run a casino, albeit on a much smaller scale, and we regularly deal with eliminating players from leaderboards who wager abused (eg through 1.01x spam)

Considering Housebets verified that the players were legitimate (meaning they manually checked the play history) that does give them some responsibility in this case. It can be up to anyone's discretion whether the intention of your play was for the leaderboard, but they indirectly encouraged that you continue play against these accounts that they now deemed as valid opponents.

Regardless I think at least some compensation is justified, but I don't see fit that anywhere near the \$100,000 figure you suggested is reasonable.



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 20:04

I appreciate your words and the fact that you see it the same way I do. You're one of the first people I've been able to have a reasonable discussion with, someone who's actually taken the time to look at the evidence instead of dismissing it.

As for the \$100k figure, I mentioned that because it reflects what I lost during that specific window of a few hours. The exact amount is something that could be discussed; I don't have a problem with that. What matters is that their responsibility is undeniable.

And there's another important point: by officially confirming those accounts were legitimate, they actually made the situation even more suspicious. It raises the possibility that they were trying to hide the fact that the accounts belonged to them, which is why they chose to validate them instead of investigating or removing them.

**Dr.W** I appreciate your words and the fact that you see it the same way I do. You're one of the first people I've...



**Sourblock** 07/07/2026 20:06

100% more clarity is necessary from Housebets regarding those particular accounts. It's already incredibly suspicious that

- a) a review was done and confirmed them as real
- b) the public account metrics have inconsistent/atypical stats synonymous with house run accounts

**@gporchy**



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 20:08

Since you've taken the time to review the evidence, I'd also like to hear your opinion on these two points:

### Weekly Bonus

Housebets claims there was a special bonus cycle running from **May 18 to May 28**, and they rely on that single cycle to justify not paying my weekly bonus.

However, here's what Support themselves confirmed through multiple agents:

- I claimed a **\$719 weekly bonus on May 21**, and I have it recorded in my ledger.
- They confirmed that, because of the migration, the next weekly covered **May 21 to May 24**, which perfectly matches the Weekly Leaderboard that ran from **May 25 to June 1**.
- They also confirmed that I claimed that **May 21–24 weekly** in the early hours of **May 25**.

So how is it possible that every confirmed weekly cycle lines up with the evidence **except** for this

alleged **May 18–28** cycle?

If that 10-day cycle really existed, how can there simultaneously be **two separate weekly cycles** entirely contained within it? It simply doesn't make sense.


## Monthly Bonus

They claim they didn't pay me the full amount because my slider was set to **100% losses**, and if that were actually true, they'd have a valid point.

However, throughout the entire month, the UI showed the slider set to **50/50**, as you can see from this evidence taken **just one day before the monthly bonus was paid**.

So, based on that, it's reasonable that I wouldn't receive the **50% allocated to losses**, but I **should** receive the **50% allocated to wager** for the entire month.

Given that I wagered approximately **\$4 million** during that month, shouldn't I be paid the wager-based 50% for the full monthly period?



It's all completely insane. The whole thing is built on lies, and I have the evidence to back it up. The problem is that nobody has actually taken the time to ask them the right questions or properly examine the evidence, whether because of some hidden interest or simply a lack of interest.



**Sourblock** 07/07/2026 20:11

I haven't researched Housebets reward structure and after rereading this 3 times still don't understand all of the details regarding time periods and overlapping rewards. I don't think I'm qualified to give an opinion given my lack of insight. Probably best that you wait for a proper response from Housebets (edited)



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 20:18

The structure is actually very simple. You have a slider that you can set however you want for both the weekly and monthly bonuses.

You can choose 100% losses, 100% wager, or a 50/50 split for both the weekly and the monthly bonus. I had my weekly bonus set to 100% losses and my monthly bonus set to a 50/50 split.

What does that mean? For the weekly bonus, if I was down during that week, the entire bonus was calculated based on my losses. For the monthly bonus, since I finished the month in overall profit (I'm talking about the whole month, not the weekly results), I wouldn't receive the 50% loss-based portion, but I should still receive the 50% wager-based portion.

As for the bonus cycles, it's very simple. You just look at the ledger and the support responses, there's nothing else to it. It's already been verified, and I've uploaded the evidence.

The so-called 18–28 cycle is a made-up 10-day cycle used to claim that's how the weekly bonus worked. It directly contradicts their own support team and their own weekly bonus payments on the

21st and the 25th. The only purpose of that claim is to make it look like I didn't have weekly losses so they can avoid paying me.



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 22:45

You can check you slider positions on the initial pdf

I shared

Given this entire dispute is bonuses, what exact amount do you think is owed ?

What is this entire story over ?

Every single day of your pnl is in that report

So you tell me how you've been unfairly abused



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 23:16

You are dodging again.

I'm not asking you to repeat "check the PDF".

I'm asking you to answer the contradiction.

Did my ledger show a Weekly bonus paid on May 21 at 01:52:27 for \$719.48826151?

Did support confirm another Weekly claimed on May 25 covering May 21-24?

Yes or no.

Because if the answer is yes, then your 18-28 May Weekly/Lossback cycle cannot be the real weekly cycle.

Daily PnL doesn't answer that. Lifetime PnL doesn't answer that. Total bonuses don't answer that.

Stop asking me to calculate your backend. You have the formula, the caps, the VIP rate and the settlement records.

My Weekly slider was 100% Lossback. My Monthly slider was 50% Lossback / 50% Wager. I have videos and screenshots showing exactly that. The UI doesn't lie. I played the entire month with those settings visible, and support even confirmed them before any dispute existed.

So what is true? The UI and your support, or a PDF written afterwards?

The same goes for the Weekly cycle. Support answered honestly before I lost the \$91k and before there was any dispute. Are you saying all your support agents were wrong?

Then there is the leaderboard.

I reported those accounts while they were pressuring me. Housebets reviewed them and, by official email and Telegram, told me they were legitimate and eligible and that I should keep playing.

I trusted Housebets. I kept playing. While those accounts kept pressuring the race, I lost another \$91k.

Later, Housebets admitted those same accounts were linked, flagged and zeroed.

So what exactly did you review before telling me they were legitimate?




I'm not arguing over the final amount today. That is your job.



Calculate the Weekly using my 100% Lossback on the disputed week. Calculate the Monthly using my 50/50 slider and the wager I completed that month.

And then explain why I was told to keep playing against accounts that you later admitted should never have been on that leaderboard.

I'm still waiting for that answer. @gporchy You gonna fix this and close all this shit > **Or are you going to keep asking everyone to believe a version that doesn't hold up, and is directly contradicted by your own ledger (not by a PDF)?**

**And the leaderboard issue has already been made clear as well.** (edited)





# Información del usuario



elmourabut

Se unió el 19 may 2026

Propina

Un-ignore

Platinum 5

91.369 / 500.000 XP

Pearl 1

Todo el tiempo Mensual Semanal Diario

Ganancias/Pérdidas -49,918.72498...	Depósitos 79,000.20500...	Retiros 0.00000000
Total apostado 1,258,486.9997...	Bonos totales 7,092.19872414	Llaves obtenidas 23,203.224

### Desglose de apuestas

Tragamonedas	872,691.01701657
Card	385,795.98277441

## All Players

RANK	USER	WAGERED	PRIZE
1	DrWgamba	\$1,096,07...	\$1,00...
2 <sup>nd</sup>	elmourabut	\$831,250....	\$300....
3 <sup>rd</sup>	lucasmartirini	\$694,861....	\$150....
4 <sup>th</sup>	Freeman_1	\$40,681.10	\$80.00
5 <sup>th</sup>	DaveSkeeeZ	\$34,264.50	\$40.00
6 <sup>th</sup>	Khalid706	\$30,262.36	\$36.00
7 <sup>th</sup>	kadocuk31	\$23,619.05	\$34.00
8 <sup>th</sup>	DongMeister	\$7,305.24	\$32.00
9 <sup>th</sup>	domani	\$7,000.54	\$30.00
10 <sup>th</sup>	leonaverse08	\$5,443.43	\$28.00

- Menu
- Sports
- Casino
- Chat
- Search

**All Players**

RANK	USER	WAGERED	PRIZE
1	DrWgamba	\$3,334,61...	₹ \$5,00...
2 <sup>nd</sup>	elmourabut	\$1,494,85...	₹ \$1,50...
3 <sup>rd</sup>	lucasmartirini	\$1,204,34...	₹ \$750...
4 <sup>th</sup>	Khalid706	\$1,082,67...	₹ \$400...
5 <sup>th</sup>	hatecore	\$541,995...	₹ \$200...
6 <sup>th</sup>	dimkadinka	\$269,609...	₹ \$180...
7 <sup>th</sup>	Santoceo	\$147,989...	₹ \$170...
8 <sup>th</sup>	jtn96	\$108,561...	₹ \$160...
9 <sup>th</sup>	tibcabs	\$56,521.96	₹ \$150...
10 <sup>th</sup>	SkyeBlu	\$48,539.41	₹ \$140...

Navigation: < 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 >

Bottom Bar: Menu Sports Casino Chat Search

Just checking ledger on 21 and support answers should be enough to Let's expose this lie, check this number, hour and date. And answer me, you didnt already. Why the 21th weekly bonus was paid? How can that match with a 18-28 cycle? Same with the bonus of 25 morning

Thu 21 May 03:52 719.48826151

answer please @gporchy



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 23:30

Doesn't the pdf share your bonuses ?



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 23:34

Answer

Don't avoid questions

Was the weekly charge of 719\$ collected on the 21st? Yes or no?

**Dr.W** Just checking ledger on 21 and support answers should be enough to Let's expose this lie, check this nu...



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 23:36

This one

Anything other than a YES or a NO will make it clear that you're lying and don't want to answer.



**Dr.W** 07/07/2026 23:57

@gporchy 🙄



**gporchy** 07/07/2026 23:59

It's 11pm, I'm not by my laptop. Btw on, where were your promised all the extra bonuses I gave you?

Should you return these as they weren't official ?




Dr.W 08/07/2026 0:02

Answer the question, admit it, pay what you've tried to cheat and fabricate your way out of, and put an end to this. You're honestly destroying your own brand all by yourself


ANSWER THE QUESTION MATE, yes or no? Easy

I will go question by question till you admit or pay what is owed

And you don't Need laptop por this stop lying



Maybe more clear?



Stop man.

You're going to sink your own brand. I'm not going to stop, and I'm not going to let you paint me as a liar or someone who's crazy. I'll keep escalating this, whatever it takes. I'm giving you one opportunity here to be honest and bring this to an end. @gporchy



gporchy 08/07/2026 0:08

Please try and give me a concise list of questions. Write it in Spanish. Make it very clear. No AI use

Your exact issues as points. This is the last reply I will ever do. So please spend time here as you ask different questions every single day.



**Dr.W** 08/07/2026 0:11

Wow, it's incredible how manipulative and shameless you can be. Today I've asked you several simple yes-or-no questions. Every single time, you've dodged them, and you always fall back on the same line about making you a list. Fine. If that's what it takes to expose you, I'll make that list right now, and you'll answer it exactly as you said, without dodging anything. Let's see how much deeper you dig yourself



**Dr.W** Wow, it's incredible how manipulative and shameless you can be. Today I've asked you several simple ye...



**TXPLAYS (Kick.com)** 08/07/2026 0:55



**Dr.W** 08/07/2026 1:02

Salvado por la campaña, el consejo al rescate. Estás ciego? No ves que no ha respondido a nada? Ahí van las preguntas a ver si las responde son solo SI O NO la mayoría. Veremos si responde o cuenta milongas.

1. ¿Es cierto que el bonus weekly se entregaba todos los jueves hasta el 21 de mayo? SÍ/NO
2. ¿Es cierto que Support me confirmó que había cobrado el weekly del 21 de mayo a la 01:52 por un importe de 719 \$? SÍ/NO
3. ¿Es cierto que en el ledger que he aportado y en vuestro propio sistema aparece registrado el cobro del weekly del 21 de mayo a la 01:52 por 719 \$? SÍ/NO
4. ¿Es cierto que Support me confirmó, utilizando vuestros propios datos, que el weekly del 25 de mayo fue reclamado (claimed) a la 01:10? SÍ/NO
5. ¿Es cierto que hubo una migración que afectó a uno de los ciclos debido al cambio a la nueva plataforma? SÍ/NO
6. ¿Es cierto que, cuando pregunté específicamente por los ciclos de bonus de mayo, Support me confirmó que, debido a esa migración, el ciclo especial de esa semana fue del 21 al 24 de mayo? SÍ/NO
7. ¿Es cierto que ese ciclo que comienza el día 21 coincide con que era jueves (como los weekly normales), con lo que confirmó Support y con el pago del weekly de 719 \$? SÍ/NO
8. ¿Es cierto que Support también confirmó que el ciclo especial por la migración fue del 21 al 24 y que el bonus correspondiente fue reclamado el día 25, coincidiendo exactamente con vuestros registros? SÍ/NO
9. Si todo lo anterior es cierto y coincide con la UI, el ledger, Support y vuestros registros, ¿es posible que existiera además un supuesto ciclo semanal de 10 días, del 18 al 28 de mayo, si ya existían estos otros ciclos perfectamente documentados? SÍ/NO
10. ¿Cómo puede convivir vuestro supuesto ciclo semanal del 18 al 28 con los weekly que ya han sido aportados como prueba? ¿Existían dos weekly dentro del mismo weekly?
11. ¿Es cierto que la UI del bonus mensual mostró durante todo el mes una distribución de 50% Losses / 50% Wager? SÍ/NO
12. ¿Es cierto que en las pruebas aportadas puede verse que faltaba aproximadamente una hora para cobrar el monthly y que el slider seguía mostrando 50/50? SÍ/NO
13. Si es cierto lo que ahora afirmáis, es decir, que el monthly estaba configurado al 100% Losses, ¿por qué la UI mostraba al jugador un reparto 50/50? Si se tratara de un error de la interfaz, ¿no sería injusto que un jugador tomara decisiones basándose en una UI incorrecta? SÍ/NO
14. ¿Es cierto que me quejé ante Support (y conservo todos los logs) porque había cuentas que estaban realizando un wager masivo mientras atacaban mi primera posición en la leaderboard? SÍ/NO
15. ¿Es cierto que también informé a Support de que esas cuentas estaban apareciendo bajo

categorías de juego incorrectas, mientras que mi propia UI mostraba que yo jugaba en “Slots” cuando en realidad jugaba en “Originals”? SÍ/NO

16. ¿Es cierto que Support confirmó que esas categorías eran un error, que serían corregidas y que finalmente fueron corregidas? SÍ/NO

17. ¿Es cierto que Support me confirmó expresamente que esas cuentas eran usuarios reales y legítimos? SÍ/NO

18. ¿Es cierto que, tras volver a presentar una reclamación por el enorme volumen de wager de esas cuentas, Housebets me respondió desde su correo oficial confirmando que elmourabut y lucasmartirini eran cuentas legítimas, elegibles y revisadas manualmente? SÍ/NO

19. Si comprobasteis dos veces que esas cuentas eran legítimas, me dijisteis que podía seguir jugando con tranquilidad y, tras una tercera reclamación, esas cuentas desaparecieron y por Telegram se me confirmó que yo tenía razón, ¿no consideráis que Housebets tiene responsabilidad por las pérdidas que sufrí? Yo seguí jugando y aumentando considerablemente mi volumen porque confié en vuestra confirmación oficial, perdiendo aproximadamente 91.000 \$ en muy poco tiempo mientras intentaba mantener el primer puesto.

20. ¿Es cierto que Support también me indicó que sería compensado por ese problema y por el retraso de varias horas en un retiro que me impidió realizar una apuesta que habría resultado ganadora? (Ni siquiera estoy reclamando esto; lo menciono únicamente como antecedente.) SÍ/NO

21. ¿Existían cuentas de prueba o testing dentro de Housebets que no utilizaran dinero real? SÍ/NO

22. ¿Todas las cuentas que jugaban en Housebets aparecían automáticamente en la leaderboard o existían excepciones? SÍ/NO

23. Si la respuesta anterior es NO, ¿existía la posibilidad de decidir qué cuentas aparecían y cuáles no en la leaderboard? ¿Existía esa funcionalidad? SÍ/NO

24. ¿Es cierto que existen otros jugadores con problemas similares o cuyos historiales se vieron afectados por la migración? SÍ/NO

25. Independientemente de si el problema fue un error humano, una beta, una mala implementación o un fallo de desarrollo, ¿consideráis que debe ser el jugador quien asuma las consecuencias cuando ha jugado con dinero real confiando en la información mostrada por vuestra propia UI? ¿O debería ser el casino quien responda por sus propios errores?

Con toda la evidencia aportada (Support, vídeos, ledger, correos electrónicos, registros, cuentas que ejercían presión sobre la leaderboard y todas las contradicciones existentes), ¿consideráis que debo recibir el weekly que me corresponde, el monthly que me corresponde y una compensación por las pérdidas sufridas tras confiar en las confirmaciones oficiales de Housebets y Support? SÍ/NO

Si la respuesta es NO, explicad de forma clara por qué.

Y, como mínimo, si no vais a reconocer los bonus ni la compensación correspondiente, ¿consideráis que debéis responder por las pérdidas de aproximadamente 91.000 \$ ocasionadas tras confiar en la información oficial que vosotros mismos me proporcionasteis?

Por favor, responded con SÍ o NO a todas las preguntas que lo permitan y, en aquellas que no puedan responderse de esa forma, responded de manera clara, directa y sin evasivas.

There You have more clear and in english



[Housebets\\_Questionnaire.pdf](#)


24.8 KB

Y aquí el único gilipollas respondiendo eso eres tú, que quede bien claro que estás haciendo el ridículo intentando salvar el culo a este scammer. La gente lo está viendo. Incluso los haters empiezan a darse cuenta que aquí todo huele raro y que la evidencia es arrollado, hazte un favor y antes de llamarme Giñipollas, lee tus comentarios.

[@gporchy](#) your turn.



Don't be shy bro, keep going writing 😞



**Sourblock** 08/07/2026 2:23

He wanted you to write in Spanish so you wouldn't use AI not because he wanted a translation



**Dr.W** 08/07/2026 2:30

I did



**Dr.W** Salvado por la campaña, el consejo al rescate. Estás ciego? No ves que no ha respondido a nada? Ahí va...



**Dr.W** 08/07/2026 2:30

here

just send both just in case



**Dr.W** 08/07/2026 5:58

si mis calculos son correctos:

monthly \$7,668.20

weekly \$9,116.84

leaderboard 91k\$ dispuesto a ver cual es vuestra compensacion y si es logica la aceptare y cerraremos todo esto. Tienes todas las cartas sobre la mesa. Si he calculado mal y es mas, supongo que me lo diras xd

Y queda la compensacion que no se cual teniais pensada que support me dijo por el tema del retiro que tardo horas y me jodio la apuesta. (edited)



Spencer  
Activo



Our tech team are still actively working on issues regarding the monthly bonuses, hoping to have it claimable by end of day for you. Also have them looking back at last week's bonus for you.

Understand it's frustrating and appreciate your patience. Migrating to the new site has come with it's fair share of issues but we're working hard to iron them out and hopefully next week will be a much smoother process with claiming your weekly bonus.

Spencer • 9 min



Dr.W There You have more clear and in english

Dr.W 08/07/2026 13:11

@gporchy there You have. Should Take 5min.

Y/N test 99%



gporchy 08/07/2026 15:10

Don't have a huge amount of time as releasing features but this is what the AI has said.

It's pretty clear you have 0 case. You claim all this unfairness yet your house edge is 0.6% on your turnover.

Your bonuses are vast for your gameplay.

You personally received \$12k from me.

I am not going to reply to anymore messages and you are no longer eligible for any promotional offers



Housebets\_DrWgamba\_Questionnaire\_Response.pdf

220.61 KB



Dr.W 08/07/2026 15:49

My frieeend, I'm in a meeting and away from home, so I've only been able to read it a bit vertically. But thanks for replying. With this [REDACTED] and I'd now have two official PDFs to go through the legal route and expose your lies. Tonight I'll reply point by point.

It seems that in the end you're not only a bad businessman who doesn't even know how to manage a casino full of errors and contradictions. The final surprise is that what people say and what has been suspected out there is act [REDACTED]

Later I'll tell you a story that you're going to like, and I'll expose you with everything you're saying.

Hugs, love.

Exported 212 message(s)

Timezone: UTC+1